

Kichney News June 2013 | Vol. 5, Number 6

Research Provides Insights into Connections Between Sleep and Diabetes

neuropathy and nighttime hypoglycemia can contribute to sleep problems in patients. Research is now providing growing evidence that insufficient sleep can also contribute to diabetes risk. The most recent studies reveal some of the potential mechanisms behind this link.

"Sleep can affect diabetes, and diabetes can affect sleep," said Elizabeth Bashoff, MD, of the Joslin Diabetes Center in Boston. "For everyone, but especially for patients with chronic conditions such as diabetes, it's important to take care of your health overall to improve sleep, and to take steps to get a good night's sleep to restore the body."

How diabetes affects sleep

iabetes and sleep problems often go hand in hand. It has been known for some time that diabetes-associated factors such as

Fluctuations in blood glucose can be particularly disruptive to sleep for many individuals with diabetes. "It's not uncommon for people to experience hy-

poglycemia in the middle of the night, which can cause headaches, sweating, and nightmares," Bashoff said. "Blood glucose that is too high can also be a problem because it may cause people to wake up repeatedly to use the bathroom."

Sleep apnea is also a major cause of sleep problems, and it is more common in individuals with diabetes than in the general population. Sleep apnea is linked to obesity, and weight loss is by far the most effective treatment for individuals with sleep apnea who have high BMIs.

Leg pain due to neuropathy can also keep diabetics up at night. Controlling blood sugar levels can help. Also, there are many medications to treat the condition, some of which also have a beneficial sedative effect at bedtime.

How sleep affects diabetes

While diabetes and its associated com-Continued on page 3

Inside

Policv

CMS reduces patient threshold needed for application to ESRD Seamless Care Program

Journal View

Pancreas-kidney transplant reduces microvascular damage in diabetes

CKD Surveillance

The CDC's new system tracks prevention, detection, and management of CKD

New Team at JASN

Editor-in-Chief Karl Nath sets JASN plans

Detective Nephron investigates an unusual case



Study Questions Phosphate Binders' Cardiovascular Benefits for Patients with Mild Kidney Disease

levated phosphate levels in the blood-even when levels are in the high normal range—carry increased heart-related risks, but taking a phosphate binder did not improve cardiovascular measures in patients with mild kidney disease in a recent study published in the Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.

"It would appear that for now it would be better to lower the amount of phosphate in the diet rather than rely on pharmacological interventions," said senior author Charles Ferro, MBChB, MD, of the University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Birmingham, in England.

Because adherence to the study medication was low, though, additional studies are warranted to test the true potential of phosphate binders for protecting the heart

health of patients with mild kidney disease.

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is the most common condition associated with deranged phosphate homeostasis. Ferro and his colleague Colin Chue, MBChB, led a research team that conducted a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of 120 patients with stage 3 CKD to test the effects of the phosphate binder sevelamer carbonate, which is approved only for patients with kidney failure. Sevelamer carbonate holds promise for improving cardiovascular health because high blood levels of phosphate promote calcification and stiffening of blood vessels and can cause structural changes in the heart, such as increased wall thickness.

Continued on page 3

At Amgen, biologic medicines are rooted in quality and nurtured by reliability.

Robust quality control and a reliable supply are every bit as important as scientific innovation.

For more than 30 years, Amgen has poured commitment, passion, and a drive for perfection into every medicine we make.

So you can turn to Amgen for the biologic medicines that matter so much to your patients' treatment...for generations to come.

To learn more about Amgen's commitment to consistent quality and reliable supply, visit biotechnologybyamgen.com



Sleep and Diabetes

Continued from page 1

plications can have clear impacts on sleep quality, sleep quality may also impact diabetes and diabetes risk.

The first clue to this connection came from a 1999 study published in *The Lancet.* The study found that restricting healthy young people's sleep to just four hours for six nights in a row produced striking changes in glucose tolerance and endocrine function (Spiegel K et al. *Lancet* 1999; 354:1435–1439).

Since then, studies have generated additional evidence that disrupted sleep affects insulin resistance, and that individuals with diabetes who keep regular sleep schedules seem to maintain better blood sugar control, perhaps in part due to circadian rhythms connected to glucose metabolism. Also, research suggests that people who get less sleep tend to be heavier than those who sleep well, which puts them at increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes.

Research is starting to tease out the mechanisms by which sleep affects diabetes risk. A new Journal of the American Medical Association study found that individuals who secrete low levels of melatonin at night have about twice the risk of developing type 2 diabetes as individuals who secrete high levels of the hormone (McMullan CJ et al. JAMA 2013; 309:1388-1389). The study included 370 women who developed diabetes and 370 controls. Melatonin secretion was measured at the start of the study, when none of the participants had diabetes. Women in the highest category of melatonin secretion had an estimated diabetes incidence rate of 4.27 cases/1000 personyears compared with 9.27 cases/1000 person-years in the lowest category.

"This is the first time that an independent association has been established between nocturnal melatonin secretion and type 2 diabetes risk," said first author Ciaran McMullan, MD, a nephrologist at Brigham and Women's Hospital

gested methods to maximize compliance,

adherence to treatment was low, with only

56 percent of patients taking more than

80 percent of the study medication. Also,

in Boston. "Hopefully this study will prompt future research to examine what influences a person's melatonin secretion and what is melatonin's role in altering a person's glucose metabolism and risk of diabetes."

New evidence presented at SLEEP 2012, the 26th annual meeting of the Associated Professional Sleep Societies, links sleep apnea with carbohydrate craving. Researchers screened 55 individuals (more than half of whom had diabetes) for sleep apnea and carbohydrate cravings. They found that among the diabetic patients, the prevalence of sleep apnea was 82 percent, and they had almost twice the risk of carbohydrate craving than nondiabetics. In addition, patients with sleep apnea were almost twice as likely to have high carbohydrate craving than were patients without sleep apnea.

"Previous studies have shown that sleep deprivation may lead to changes in hormones that regulate appetite and hunger. These hormonal changes can lead to significant craving for high-calorie carbohydrates," said study co-investigator

is a legitimate limitation to the results, this level of adherence is very commonly seen in clinical practice, which makes the findings of this study translatable to the real clinical setting," said Jeannie Kim Lee, PharmD, BCPS, CGP, of the University of Arizona College of Pharmacy. Lee, who was not involved with the study, has conducted extensive research addressing patients' medication adherence.

More research needed

In an accompanying editorial, Rajiv Agarwal, MD, of the Indiana University School of Medicine, noted that the study "should not serve as a death knell to investigations on phosphorus in progressive CKD, but should instead serve as a crèche for future investigations on the value of phosphorus reduction in preventing cardiovascular disease and CKD progression."

He noted that it is unlikely that sevelamer is ineffective because the drug is approved with adequate trial data to support its use in people with hyperphosphatemia. Mahmood Siddique, DO, of the department of medicine at the Robert Wood Johnson Medical School in New Brunswick, NJ. "This study supports previous findings by validating this in a community sample of diabetics."

Getting a good night's sleep

While some aspects of sleep may be out of one's control, experts agree that certain measures can help improve sleep for most people, leading to considerably better health.

"Maintaining a regular schedule, getting exercise, avoiding alcohol at night and for people with diabetes, testing blood sugar at bedtime—can lead to a better night's sleep," said Bashoff. "I often see patients who are so busy that they don't think of these simple steps."

Individuals who sleep poorly are susceptible to depression and other mood disorders, changes in eating, decreases in physical activity—and as indicated by the latest evidence, perhaps an increased risk of developing diabetes.

He added that while the drug in the doses used in this trial was not effective in reducing an already normal level of phosphorus concentration, perhaps the participants increased their dietary phosphorus intake, which would prevent an overall decline in serum phosphorus.

Until more information is available about the potential heart-related benefits of phosphate binders for individuals with mild CKD, these patients should focus on dietary changes to reduce their phosphate levels. Foods with large amounts of added phosphate are processed meat, ham, sausages, canned fish, baked goods, cola drinks, and other soft drinks.

"Fast food and ready-to-eat processed foods are the main contributors to today's rising dietary consumption of phosphate," Ferro noted. He suggested that a comprehensive public education effort that explains the harmful effects of high phosphate intake and provides clear labeling of the phosphate content of food could help limit the damage done by this cardiovascular risk factor.

Cardiovascular Benefits

Continued from page 1

After a 4-week open label run-in period, during which all patients received sevelamer carbonate, 109 patients were randomly assigned to sevelamer or placebo for an additional 36 weeks. The investigators assessed left ventricular mass and systolic and diastolic function with cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography, and they assessed arterial stiffness by carotid–femoral pulse wave velocity.

"We hoped that by asking a very motivated group of patients with early stage chronic kidney disease to take phosphate binders with every meal, we would be able to reduce the amount of phosphate absorbed from the diet," Ferro said. However, at the end of the study, the investigators found no differences in any of the measures of cardiovascular structure and function between the groups.

Yet despite repeated reminders and sug-

CJASN

CJASN for iOS and Android

Access the latest research and commentary published in the Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology from anywhere in the world.





Download these and other ASN apps at www.asn-online.org/media.



several patients withdrew from the study because of difficulty with the frequency (two tablets taken three times daily) and tolerability of the study agents. When the subgroup of patients with more than 80 percent compliance was analyzed separately, the group taking sevelamer excreted

> significantly smaller amounts of phosphate in their urine compared with those taking placebo. The sevelamer group also had reduced levels of the hormone fibroblast growth factor 23, which is critical for maintaining phosphate balance but is also toxic to the cardiovascular system. No changes were noted in any of the measures of cardiovascular structure nor in serum levels of phosphate, klotho, and vitamin

D, though. "Although only 56 percent of patients took 80 percent or more of the prescribed medications in this controlled study, which

KidneyNews

Editorial Staff Editor-in-Chief: Pascale H. Lane, MD, FASN Executive Editor: Dawn McCoy Production and Content Editor: Kurtis Pivert Design: Lisa Cain Design

Editorial Board:

Matthew D. Breyer, MD, FASN, Eli Lilly and Company
Wendy Weinstock Brown, MD, Jesse Brown VA Medical Center, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago
Teri Browne, PhD, MSW, University of South Carolina
Ira Davis, MD, Baxter Healthcare Corp.
Nishank Jain, MD MPH (fellow), University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
Caroline Jennette Poulton, MSW, University of North Carolina Kidney Center
Richard Lafayette, MD, Stanford University Medical Center
Edgar V. Lerma, MD, FASN, University of Ullinois – Chicago /Associates in Nephrology, SC
Teri J. Mauch, MD, FASN, University of Virginia
Matthew A. Sparks, MD, Duke University Hospital
Titte R. Srinivas, MD, Cleveland Clinic

Advertising Sales:

The Walchli Tauber Group 2225 Old Emmorton Road, Suite 201 Bel Air, MD 21015 443-252-0571 Mobile 443-512-8899 *115 Phone christine.kenney@wt-group.com

ASN Council:

President: Bruce A. Molitoris, MD, FASN
President-elect: Sharon M. Moe, MD, FASN
Past-President: Ronald J. Falk, MD, FASN
Secretary-Treasurer: Donald E. Wesson, MD, FASN
Publications Committee Chair: Sharon M. Moe, MD, FASN
Councilors: Jonathan Himmelfarb, MD, FASN, Raymond C. Harris, MD, FASN, Eleanor D. Lederer, MD, FASN, Mark D. Okusa, MD, FASN

Executive Director: Tod Ibrahim Associate Director of Publishing: Robert Henkel

ASN Kidney News is published by the American Society of Nephrology 1510 H Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005. Phone: 202-640-4660

www.asn-online.org

ASN Kidney News is the authoritative source for analysis of trends in medicine, industry, and policy affecting all practitioners in nephrology. The statements and opinions expressed in ASN Kidney News are solely those of the authors and not of the American Society of Nephrology (ASN) or the editorial policy of the editors. The appearance of advertisements in ASN Kidney News is not a warranty, endorsement, or approval of the products or services advertised or of their effectiveness, quality, or safety. The American Society of Nephrology disclaims responsibility for any injury to persons or property resulting from any ideas or products referred to in the articles or advertisements.

The American Society of Nephrology is organized and operated exclusively for scientific and educational purposes, including enhancing the field of nephrology by advancing the scientific knowledge and clinical practice of that discipline through stimulation of basic and clinical investigation, providing access to new knowledge through the publication of journals and the holding of scientific meetings, advocating for the development of national health policies to improve the quality of care for renal patients, cooperating with other national and international societies and organizations involved in the field of nephrology, and using other means as directed by the Council of the Society.

Postmaster: Please send address changes to *ASN Kidney News*, c/o Customer Service, American Society of Nephrology 1510 H Street NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005.

Publications mail agreement No. 40624074. Return undeliverable Canadian addresses to PO Box 503, RPO West Beaver Creek, Richmond Hill ON L4B 4R6

ASN Kidney News (ISSN print 1943-8044 and online 1943-8052) is an official publication of the American Society of Nephrology, 1510 H Street NW #800, Washington DC 20005, and is published monthly. Periodicals postage paid at Washington, DC and at additional mailing offices. Subscription rates: \$12 per year. To order, please email bhenkel@asn-online.org. Subscription prices subject to change. Annual ASN membership dues include \$12 for ASN Kidney News subscription. Copyright© 2013 All rights reserved

KIDNEYWEEK

Atlanta, GA | Nov 5 - 10

Kidney Week: November 5–10 Exhibit Dates: November 7–9

Registration and Housing Now Open www.asn-online.org/KidneyWeek



Policy Update

Fewer Patients Now Required for Groups to Apply for ESRD Seamless Care Organizations

By Rachel Shaffer

MS recently relented on its requirement that ESRD programs applying to form ESRD Seamless Care Organizations (ESCOs) must have at least 500 matched beneficiaries. Applicants must now have 350 matched beneficiaries, and the deadline to submit a formal application has been pushed back to July 1, 2013.

The change came after requests for greater flexibility on the threshold from many in the kidney care community—including ASN. CMS stated that the reduction was in response to stakeholder feedback and suggestions from organizations interested in new models of ESRD care.

"The lower threshold is welcome news," said Doug Johnson, MD, vice chair of the board at Dialysis Clinic, Inc. "It will permit more providers to participate, leading to greater innovation and ultimately better care for patients with kidney disease.

CMS had originally emphasized in written communications and in conference calls with the kidney community that the 500-patient threshold was selected to ensure a statistically accurate shared savings calculation, and therefore could not be reduced.

However, some potential applicants noted that it would not be possible to achieve that minimum in a given "market"—which CMS defined as limited to just two Medicare Core-Based Statistical Areas—especially given that patients who had already been matched to a Medicare ACO or another Medicare program involving shared savings would be excluded from attribution to an ESCO.

"ASN applauds CMS and the Innovation Center for making this important change," said ASN Public Policy Board chair Thomas H. Hostetter, MD. "The society will continue to work with other kidney community stakeholders to encourage the agency to consider what we believe are further modifications that would strengthen the program's ability to deliver innovative, higher-quality care, support further research, and allow more nephrologists and providers to participate in the ESCO program. For instance, ASN believes it is important for CMS to describe a plan to develop dialysis-specific quality metrics in a transparent manner that allows for community input, as well as to prospectively describe the criteria it will use to determine whether an ESCO is a success or a failure."

Also welcoming the news were stakeholders who are not eligible to apply as participants, but who are invested in the success of the program given its potential to improve care for patients on dialysis.

"The American Nephrology Nurses Association (ANNA) was delighted that CMS reconsidered the minimum number of ESRD participants in an ESRD Seamless Care Organization (ESCO) to allow smaller providers to participate in these innovative programs," said ANNA immediate past president Glenda Payne. "Having broader representation in this project increases the potential for innovation. ANNA believes that registered nurses and Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN) will play critical roles in every ESCO, as nurses are traditionally the primary coordinators of care, and APRNs will provide close oversight of patients, working with nephrologists to improve the care patients receive and taking action to prevent complications and reduce the need for hospitalizations."

"The National Kidney Foundation is hopeful that reducing the minimum beneficiary requirement will encourage a greater range of providers to develop innovative care coordination models that target the specific health care needs of the patients in their community," said NKF President Beth Piraino, MD. "Having a diversity of models will help inform future strategies for broadly delivering higher quality care that serves patients' health, lifestyle, and community support needs."

CMS said in its announcement of the lower threshold that participants' savings rates will have to change to maintain the same level of statistical accuracy in calculating shared savings or losses. Owing to the lower threshold, the minimum savings rate in the payment track for non-Large Dialysis Organizations (LDO) will increase from 4 percent to 4.75 percent for those non-LDO participants that have between 350 to 499 matched beneficiaries in performance years 1 and 2.

Kidney Disease Research at VA Advances Care

By Grant Olan

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) kicked off National VA Research Week—May 13–17, 2013—with a briefing at the Washington, DC, VA Medical Center. VA Research Week celebrates the contributions of VA researchers to high quality care for veterans and medical progress. This year's theme was "VA Research Inspires".

The VA maintains a comprehensive research portfolio aimed at advancing the treatment of kidney failure, as well as preventing and slowing the progression of kidney disease. VA leadership, including VA Chief Research and Development Officer Joel Kupersmith, MD, spoke at the briefing.

Kupersmith provided an overview of research at the Washington VA Medical Center and highlighted the Million Veterans Program, which is the largest longitudinal study ever undertaken. The program will study how genes affect diseases and has already collected blood samples and health information from more than 150,000 veterans.

The VA sponsored 59 events showcasing other VA discoveries nationwide, emphasizing that sustained funding is needed for the VA research, including kidney disease, that will lead to discoveries that advance health care not just for veterans, but for all Americans.

Posttransplant diabetes; predialysis dietician care

For example, Boston VA researchers recently found that men—but not women—with certain forms of two genes were more than twice as likely to develop diabetes after transplant compared with men with other gene forms. Scientists can use this information to help predict risk for diabetes and to better understand its root causes. Minneapolis VA researchers also recently found that patients with kidney disease who see a dietitian before starting dialysis have a better chance at survival. Patients who saw a dietician for at least a year before starting dialysis had a nearly 10 percent lower mortality rate compared with those who had no care by a dietician.

"ASN strongly supports the VA research mission and wants to highlight its critical role in advancing medical science and quality care for America's veterans," said John R. Sedor, MD, ASN Research Advocacy Committee Chair. "There are also significant economic benefits to the nation. Medical research generates jobs, supports local businesses, and stimulates the economy."

ASN is on the Executive Committee of the Friends of VA Medical Care and Health Research (FOVA), which collaborates with The Independent Budget to recommend funding levels for VA research. This year FOVA and The Independent Budget are requesting \$611 million for VA research and \$225 million for critical infrastructure needs at VA research facilities in 2014. That \$611 million is necessary to keep pace with the rising cost of research and to support new investigation into conditions that can affect veterans returning from Iraq and Afghanistan, including polytrauma, or multiple traumatic injuries such as a serious head injury in addition to limb and vision loss or serious burns.

Many VA research facilities are also in dire need of maintenance and repairs. A \$225 million investment in construction and infrastructure projects in 2014 would help address the most serious deficiencies. For instance, some labs lack crucial safety features such as emergency showers. A congressionally mandated VA report details the condition of all VA research facilities at www.aamc.org/varpt. ASN participated in FOVA congressional meetings and launched a grassroots email campaign during VA Research Week in support of these budget requests. The society is also co-sponsoring a FOVA congressional briefing in June highlighting the benefits and importance of VA research.

Correction

The May *Kidney News* article on the Congressional Kidney Caucus Reception failed to note that the American Nephrology Nurses Association was a cosponsor of the March 20 event. ASN is proud to partner with ANNA to advance the care of patients with kidney disease and regrets the oversight.





ANNA Washington Representative Jim Twaddell speaks with Kidney Caucus co-chair Rep. Tom Marino.



Journal View

Tubulointerstitial Nephropathies in Patients with HIV

Tubulointerstitial nephropathies are found in more than one-fourth of kidney biopsy specimens obtained from HIV-infected patients, reports a study in the Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.

The retrospective study included 59 consecutive renal biopsy specimens showing predominantly tubular lesions, interstitial lesions, or both in patients with HIV infection. The patients were referred to the nephrology department of a French hospital between 1995 and 2011; the analysis excluded patients with HIV-associated nephropathy and vascular diseases. Patterns of tubulointerstitial nephropathies in HIV-infected patients were analyzed, along with their therapeutic implications.

The cases of tubulointerstitial nephropathy represented 26.6 percent of 222 native renal biopsies performed in HIV-infected patients. Approximately equal numbers of tubulopathy cases and interstitial nephritis cases were included.

At referral, about three-fourths of patients had acute kidney injury, and close to 60 percent had high-grade proteinuria. A little more than half had drug-related nephrotoxicity. Other identified causes included infections, dysimmune disorders, and malignancies. Acute and chronic tubulointerstitial nephropathies of unknown origin accounted for 10 percent of cases each.

Toxic effects of antiretroviral drugs accounted for three-fourths of tubulopathies. Tenofovir toxicity was involved in more than half of these cases, causing proximal tubular dysfunction in nearly 90 percent of cases. Other manifestations included overt Fanconi's syndrome and nephrogenic diabetes inspidus. The causes and pathologic findings were more variable in cases of interstitial nephritis.

With advances in HIV treatment and improved patient outcomes, patterns of HIV-related renal complications have changed. This study finds a high rate of tubulointerstitial nephropathies among HIV-infected patients referred for nephrology evaluation. Drug toxicity is the most common diagnosis, but other causes are possible. The findings highlight the need for monitoring of renal function in patients with HIV infection and the importance of renal biopsy for accurate diagnosis [Zaidan M, et al. Tubulointerstitial nephropathies in HIV-infected patients over the past 15 years: a clinico-pathological study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2013, in press].

Two updated meta-analyses show cardiovascular and other health benefits of decreased sodium and increased potassium intake, reports the British Medical Journal.

The researchers performed systematic reviews to identify randomized

trials and cohort studies of lower sodium intake and higher potassium intake. In adults, lower sodium intake was associated with decreased systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Reducing sodium intake to less than 2 g/d was associated with a decrease

Evidence Supports Health Benefits of Lower Sodium and Higher Potassium

of 3.47/1.81 mm Hg in blood pressure, with no evidence of adverse effects on blood lipid or catecholamine levels or kidney function.

The data were insufficient to show reduced mortality or cardiovascular morbidity associated with lower so-



Important Safety Information

WARNING: SERIOUS MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS

See full prescribing information for complete boxed warning

- Life-threatening and fatal meningococcal infections have occurred in patients treated with Soliris. Meningococcal infection may become rapidly life-threatening or fatal if not recognized and treated early.
 Comply with the most current Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations for meningococcal vaccination in patients with complement deficiencies
- Immunize patients with a meningococcal vaccine at least 2 weeks prior to administering the first dose of Soliris, unless the risks of delaying Soliris therapy outweigh the risks of developing a meningococcal infection. (See Serious Meningococcal Infections for additional guidance on the management of the risk of meningecost infection.) meningococcal infection.)
- Monitor patients for early signs of meningococcal infections, and evaluate immediately if infection is suspected Soliris is available only through a restricted program under a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS). Under the Soliris REMS prescribers must enroll in the program. Enrollment in the Soliris REMS program and additional information are available by telephone: 1-888-SOLIRIS (1-888-765-4747).

Indications and Usage

Soliris is indicated for the treatment of patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) to reduce hemolysis. Soliris is indicated for the treatment of patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) to inhibit complement-mediated thrombotic microangiopathy.

The effectiveness of Soliris in aHUS is based on the effects on thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) and renal function. Prospective clinical trials in additional patients are ongoing to confirm the benefit of Soliris in patients with aHUS.

Limitation of Use

Soliris is not indicated for the treatment of patients with Shiga toxin *E. coli* related hemolytic uremic syndrome (STEC-HUS).

Visit www.soliris.net or call 1.888.SOLIRIS (1.888.765.4747) to learn more about the benefits of Soliris.

dium intake. However, higher sodium intake was associated with increased risks of stroke, risk ratio (RR) 1.24; fatal stroke, RR 1.63; and fatal coronary heart disease, RR 1.32.

Higher potassium intake was linked to a significant reduction in blood pressure, but only in people with hypertension. A potassium intake between 90 and 120 mmol/d was associated with a reduction of 7.16 mm Hg in systolic blood pressure, with no dose-response effect. Individuals with higher potassium intake had a decreased incidence of stroke, RR 0.76, but not cardiovascular disease or coronary heart disease.

"[M]ost people will likely benefit

from reducing sodium intake," the researchers write. In patients free of kidney problems that would impair potassium handling, increasing potassium may help to prevent and control hypertension and stroke risk. The evidence shows reduced blood pressure in children with lower sodium intake but not higher potassium in-

take [Aburto NJ, et al. Effect of lower sodium intake on health: systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ 2013; 346:f1326; Aburto NJ, et al. Effect of increased potassium intake on cardiovascular risk factors and disease: systematic review and meta-analyses. BMJ 2013; 346:f1378].

Continued on page 8

Soliris[®] is the first and only approved therapy for atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (aHUS)¹ Study 1—In patients with progressing TMA^{1,2} Soliris treatment resulted Increase in platelet count as early as Day 7 in sustained improvement in 120 renal function¹ Mean Change in Platelets (\times 10⁹/L) 100 80% (4/5) of patients eliminated 80 dialysis¹ 60 40 **C08-002 study design:** Prospective analysis of aHUS patients (N=17) with progressing clinical complications from TMA treated with Soliris for 26 weeks, starting at a median period of 10 months (range: 0.26 to 236 months) from aHUS diagnosis.^{1,2} Two patients discontinued Soliris treatment after 1 and 4 doses and did not achieve TMA event-free status.² Includes one patient who discontinued after 1 dose due to an exclusion criterion (diagnosed with systemic lupus erythematosus) and a second patient who discontinued after 6 weeks (4 doses) due to an adverse event deemed unrelated to eculizumab.³ 20 95% CI: 40-105 0 -20 60 90 120 150 180 30 vs on Soliris Treat Mean platelet count at baseline was 109 x 10⁹/L Study 2—In patients with long duration of disease^{1,4} Patients eliminated PE/PI and did TMA event-free status not require new dialysis¹ TMA event-free status 100 Soliris maintained renal function • is defined as at least Patients Achieving TMA Event-Free Status (%) 55 05 54 in patients with significant renal 12 consecutive weeks of1: damage⁴ No plasma exchange/ 80% plasma infusion (PE/PI) (16/20 AND No decrease in platelet count >25% from baseline

C08-003 study design: Prospective analysis of aHUS patients (N=20) with substantial organ damage who were undergoing long-term PE/PI prior to Soliris treatment. Soliris was dosed for 26 weeks, starting at a median period of 48 months (range: 0.66 to 286 months) from aHUS diagnosis. 95% Cl: 56-94. The 4 patients who did not achieve TMA event-free status at 26 weeks had normal platelet counts at study entry and maintained counts 2150×10^{9} /L. However, at certain time points, these patients had changes in their platelet count that exceeded the strict criteria of <25% change from baseline.^{1,3,4}

> Ongoing Soliris treatment is recommended to maintain inhibition of complement-mediated TMA, the cause of symptoms and clinical manifestations of aHUS^{1,2,4}

Important Safety Information

Contraindications

0

Soliris is contraindicated in:

- Patients with unresolved serious Neisseria meningitidis infection
- Patients who are not currently vaccinated against *Neisseria* meningitidis, unless the risks of delaying Soliris treatment outweigh the risks of developing a meningococcal infection

AND

No new dialysis

Please see brief summary of full Prescribing Information for Soliris, including boxed WARNING regarding serious meningococcal infection on following pages.



Journal View continued

Younger Age at Weight Gain Increases Later CKD Risk

People who are overweight by their mid-30s are more than twice as likely to experience chronic kidney disease (CKD) by their mid-60s, reports a study in the Journal of the American Society of Nephrology.

The study included data on 5362 singleton children born during a single week in March 1946, drawn from a national health survey in the United Kingdom. A sample of 1794 participants with complete data was expanded to 4584 by multiple imputation analysis. Body mass index at age 20 to 26 (self-reported) and in subsequent decades of life (measured) was analyzed for association with CKD at age 60 to 64. The presence of CKD was based on estimated GFR (eGFR) of less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m², urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio of 3.5 mg/mmol or greater, or both.

With adjustment for social class in childhood and adulthood, being overweight at younger ages was associated with a higher risk of CKD at age 60 to 64. Cohort members who were overweight at age 26 or 36 had a twofold increase in risk of CKD before age 65, compared with those who never became overweight or who became overweight in their 60s.

The association between overweight in young adulthood and later CKD was only partly explained by adjustment for diabetes and hypertension. An increased waist-to-

Soliris[®] is the first and only approved therapy for atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (aHUS)¹

- Soliris inhibited uncontrolled complement activation in all patients^{1,2,4}
- Soliris inhibited complement-mediated TMA during the study period¹
- Efficacy of Soliris is consistent across a broad range of patients, regardless of identified mutation, age, or duration of aHUS¹
- · Ongoing Soliris treatment is recommended to maintain inhibition of complement-mediated TMA, the cause of symptoms and clinical manifestations of aHUS^{1,2,4}

Important Safety Information

Contraindications

- Soliris is contraindicated in:
- Patients with unresolved serious Neisseria meningitidis infection
- Patients who are not currently vaccinated against Neisseria meningitidis, unless the risks of delaying Soliris treatment outweigh the risks of developing a meningococcal infection

Warnings and Precautions

Serious Meningococcal Infections

The use of Soliris increases a patient's susceptibility to serious meningococcal infections (septicemia and/or meningitis). Life-threatening and fatal meningococcal infections have occurred in patients treated with Soliris. Administer a polyvalent meningococcal vaccine according to the most current Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations for patients with complement deficiencies. Revaccinate patients in accordance with ACIP recommendations.

Other infections

Soliris blocks terminal complement activation; therefore patients may have increased susceptibility to infections, especially with encapsulated bacteria. Children treated with Soliris may be at increased risk of developing serious infections due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus* influenza type b (Hib). Administer vaccinations for the prevention of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and Hib infections according to ACIP guidelines. Use caution when administering Soliris to patients with any systemic infection.

Monitoring after Soliris Discontinuation

Treatment Discontinuation for aHUS

After discontinuing Soliris, monitor patients with aHUS for signs and symptoms of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) complications for at least 12 weeks. In aHUS clinical studies, 18 patients (5 in the prospective studies) discontinued Soliris treatment. TMA complications occurred following a missed dose in 5 patients, and Soliris was reinitiated in 4 of these 5 patients.

Laboratory Monitoring

<u>aHUS</u>

Early signs of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) include a decrease in platelet count, and increases in serum LDH and creatinine levels. Follow patients for signs of TMA by monitoring serial platelet counts, serum LDH, and creatinine during Soliris therapy and following discontinuation of Soliris.

Infusion Reactions

As with all protein products, administration of Soliris may result in infusion reactions, including anaphylaxis or other hypersensitivity reactions. In clinical trials, no patients experienced an infusion reaction which required discontinuation of Soliris. Interrupt Soliris infusion and institute appropriate supportive measures if signs of cardiovascular instability or respiratory compromise occur.

Thrombosis Prevention and Management

The effect of withdrawal of anticoagulant therapy during Soliris treatment has not been established. Therefore, treatment with Soliris should not alter anticoagulant management.

Adverse Reactions

The most frequently reported adverse reactions in aHUS single arm prospective trials (≥15% combined per patient incidence) are: hypertension, upper respiratory tract infection, diarrhea, headache, anemia, vomiting, nausea, urinary tract infection, and leukopenia.

References: 1. Soliris® [package insert]. Cheshire, CT: Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc; 2012. 2. Greenbaum L, Babu S, Furman RR, et al. Continued improvements in Kichey Week 2011. November 8-13, 2012; Philadelphia, PA. Poster TH-P0367. **3**. Data on file. Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 2012. **4**. Licht C, Muus P, Legendre C, et al. Eculizumab is an effective long-term treatment in patients with atypical hemolytic-uremic syndrome (aHUS) resistant to plasma exchange/infusion (PE/PI). Presented at: ASN information (PE/PI): extension study results. Presented at: 53rd ASH Annual Meeting and Exposition. December 10-13, 2011; San Diego, CA. Abstract 3303.

Please see brief summary of full Prescribing Information for Soliris, including boxed WARNING regarding serious meningococcal infection on following pages.



Soliris® is a registered trademark of Alexion Pharmaceuticals. Inc. © 2013. Alexion Pharmaceuticals. Inc. All rights reserved. SOL-1491A

AKI between 1996 and 2008. The analy-

sis included 3877 patients who survived

for 90 days after discharge free of dialy-

sis, with follow-up information through

hip ratio at age 43 or 53 was also a risk factor for CKD at age 60 to 64. The associations were similar across different definitions of CKD.

The study is one of the first to assess the relationship between body weight at different ages and the risk of CKD later in life. On the basis of their data, the researchers estimate that in the United States, more than 36 percent of cases of CKD in the population aged 60 to 64 could be avoided if weight gain were prevented or delayed [Silverwood RJ, et al. Association between younger age when first overweight and increased risk for CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24:813-821].

Nephrologist Care Improves Outcomes after AKI

For patients with an episode of acute kidney injury (AKI), early nephrology followup is associated with improved survival 2 years later, according to a report in *Kidney* International.

Ontario health data were used to identify hospitalized adults receiving temporary inpatient dialysis after an episode of

SOLIRIS

Concentrated solution for intravenous infusion Brief summary—please see full prescribing information MPORTANT SAFETY INFORMATION

WARNING: SERIOUS MENINGOCOCCAL INFECTIONS

- WARNING: SERUUS MEININGUCGCAL INFECTIONS See full prescribing information for complete baxed warning Life-threatening and fatal meningococcal infections have occurred in patients treated with Soliris. Meningococcal infection may become rapidly life-threatening or fatal if not recognized and treated early. Comply with the most current Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations for meningococcal vaccination in patients with the omplement deficiencies.
- patients with complement deficiencies
- Immunize patients with a meningococcal vaccine at least 2 weeks prior to administering the first dose of Soliris, unless the risks of delaying Soliris therapy outweigh the risks of developing a meningococcal infection. (See *Serious Meningococcal Infections* for additional guidance on the management of the risk of meningococcal infection.) Monitor patients for early signs of meningococcal infections, and evaluate immediately if infection is suspected.
- Evaluate inimization of interction is suspected. Soliris is available only through a restricted program under a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS). Under the Soliris REMS prescribers must enroll in the program. Enrollment in the Soliris REMS program and additional information are available by telephone: 1-888-SOLIRIS (1-888-765-4747).

INDICATIONS AND USAGE Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria (PNH) Soliris is indicated for the treatment of patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH) to reduce hemolysis.

Atypical Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (aHUS) Soliris is indicated for the treatment of patients with atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) to inhibit complement-mediated thrombotic microangiopathy. The effectiveness of Soliris in aHUS is based on the effects on thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) and renal function. Prospective clinical trials in additional patients are ongoing to confirm the benefit of Soliris in patients with aHUS. Limitation of Use: Soliris is not indicated for the treatment of patients with Shiga toxin *E. coli* related hemolytic uremic syndrome (STEC-HUS).

CONTRAINDICATIONS

- s is contraindicated in: Patients with unresolved serious *Neisseria meningitidis* infection
- Patients who are not currently vaccinated against *Neisseria meningitidis*, unless the risks of delaying Soliris treatment outweigh the risks of developing a meningococcal infection [see *Warnings and Precautions*]. ARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Serious Meningococcal Infections The use of Soliris increases a patient's susceptibility to serious meningococcal infections (septicemia and/or meningitis). Life-threatening and meningococcal infections have occurred in patients treated with Soliris. Administer a polyvalent meningococcal vaccine according to the most current Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations for patients with complement deficiencies. Revaccinate patients in accordance with ACIP recommendations, considering the duration of Soliris therapy.

with ACIP recommendations, considering the duration of Soliris therapy. Vaccinate patients without a history of meningococcal vaccination at least 2 weeks prior to receiving the first dose of Soliris. If urgent Soliris sherapy is indicated in an unvaccinated patient, administer the meningococcal vaccine as soon as possible. In clinical studies, 33/67 patients with aHUS were treated with Soliris less than 2 weeks after meningococcal vaccination and 31 of these 33 patients received antibiotics for prophylaxis of meningococcal infection until at least 2 weeks after meningococcal vaccination and and risks of antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of meningococcal infections in patients receiving Soliris have not been established.

receiving Soliris have not been established. Vaccination reduces, but does not eliminate, the risk of meningococcal infections. In clinical studies, 2 out of 196 PNH patients developed serious meningococcal infections while receiving treatment with Soliris; both had been vaccinated [see Adverse Reactions]. In clinical studies among non-PNH patients, meningococcal meningitis occurred in one unvaccinated patient. In addition, a previously vaccinated patient with AHUS developed meningococcal sepsis during the post-study follow-up period [see Adverse Reactions]. Closely monitor patients for early signs and symptoms of meningococcal infection and evaluate patients immediately if an infection is suspected. Meningococcal infections may become rapidly life-threatening or fatal if not recognized and treated early. Discontinue Soliris in patients who are undergoing treatment for serious meningococcal infections. **Soliris REMS**

Soliris REMS Because of the risk of meningococcal infections, Soliris is available only through a restricted nmoram under a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS). Under restricted program under a Risk Evaluation and Mitigati the Soliris REMS, prescribers must enroll in the program.

Prescribers must counsel patients about the risk of meningococcal infection, provide the patients with the REMS educational materials, and ensure patients are accinated with a meningococcal vaccine.

Enrollment in the Soliris REMS program and additional information are available by telephone: 1-888-SOLIRIS (1-888-765-4747).

Other Infections Soliris blocks terminal complement activation; therefore patients may have increased susceptibility to infections, especially with encapsulated bacteria. Children treated with Soliris may be at increased risk of developing serious infections due to *Steptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenza* type b (Hib). Administer vaccinations for the prevention of *Steptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenza* type b (Hib) infections according to ACIP guidelines. Use caution when administering Soliris to patients with any systemic infection.

Monitoring After Soliris Discontinuation T<u>reatment Discontinuation for PNH</u>: Monitor patients after discontinuing Soliris for at least 8 weeks to detect hemolysis.

Least 8 weeks to detect hemolysis. <u>Ireatment Discontinuation for aHUS</u>: After discontinuing Soliris, monitor patients with aHUS for signs and symptoms of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) complications for at least 12 weeks. In aHUS clinical studies, 18 patients (5 in the prospective studies) discontinued Soliris treatment. TMA complications occurred following a missed dose in 5 patients; and Soliris was reinitiated in 4 of these 5 patients. Clinical signs and symptoms of TMA include changes in mental status, seizures, angina, dyspnea, or thrombosis. In addition, the following changes in laboratory

parameters may identify a TMA complication: occurrence of two, or repeated measurement of any one of the following: a decrease in platelet count by 25% or more compared to baseline or the peak platelet count during Soliris treatment; an increase in serum creatinine by 25% or more compared to baseline or nadir during Soliris treatment, or, an increase in serum LDH by 25% or more over baseline or or more over baseline or nadir during during Soliris treatment. (n = 10) (n = 10) (n = 10) (n = 10)

utiling ourins treatment. If TMA complications occur after Soliris discontinuation, consider reinstitution Soliris treatment, plasma therapy [plasmapheresis, plasma exchange, or free frozen plasma infusion (PE/PI)], or appropriate organ-specific supportive measure

Thrombosis Prevention and Management The effect of withdrawal of anticoagulant therapy during Soliris treatment has not been established. Therefore, treatment with Soliris should not alter anticoagulant management.

Eaboratory Monitoring PNH: Serum LDH levels increase during hemolysis and may assist in monitoring Soliris effects, including the response to discontinuation of therapy. In clinical studies, six patients achieved a reduction in serum LDH levels only after a decrease in the Soliris dosing interval from 14 to 12 days. All other patients achieved a reduction in serum LDH levels with the 14 day dosing interval [see *Clinical Pharmacology* and *Clinical Studies*].

Comical sources). AHUS: Early signs of thrombotic microangiopathy (TMA) include a decrease in platelet count, and increases in serum LDH and creatinine levels. Follow patients for signs of TMA by monitoring serial platelet counts, serum LDH, and creatinine during Soliris therapy and following discontinuation of Soliris. Infusion Reactions

Inusion Reactions As with all protein products, administration of Soliris may result in infusion reactions, including anaphylaxis or other hypersensitivity reactions. In clinical trials, no patients experienced an infusion reaction which required discontinuation of Soliris. Interrupt Soliris infusion and institute appropriate supportive measures if signs of cardiovascular instability or respiratory compromise occur.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

are the most important adverse reactions experience Meningococcal infections are the most important adverse reactions experienced by patients receiving Soliris. In PNH clinical studies, two patients experienced meningococcal sepsis. Both patients had previously received a meningococcal vaccine. In clinical studies among patients without PNH, meningococcal meningitis occurred in one unvaccinated patient. Meningococcal sepsis occurred in one previously vaccinated patient enrolled in the retrospective aHUS study during the past-study follow-up period [see Warnings and Precautions].

pust-sucuy ronow-up period [see Warnings and Pecautions]. PINF: The data described below reflect exposure to Soliris in 196 adult patients with PNN, age 18-85, of whom 55% were framele. All had signs or symptoms of intravascular hemolysis. Soliris was studied in a placebo-controlled clinical study (in which 43 patients received Soliris and 44, placebo); a single arm clinical study and a long term extension study. 182 patients were exposed for greater than one year. All patients received the recommended Soliris dose regimen. Berause clinical trials patients were exposed for greater than one year. All patients received the recommended Soliris dose regimen.

Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed

The most frequently reported adverse reactions in the PNH randomized tria [>10% overall and greater than placebo) are: headache, nasopharyngitis, back pain and nausea.

and nausea. In the placebo-controlled clinical study, serious adverse reactions occurred among 4 (9%) platients receiving Soliris and 9 (21%) platients receiving placebo. The serious reactions included infections and progression of PNH. No deaths occurred in the study and no patients receiving Soliris experienced a thrombotic event; one thrombotic event occurred in a platient receiving placebo. Among 193 patients with PNH treated with Soliris in the single arm, clinical study or the follow-up study, the adverse reactions occurred among 16% of the patients in these studies. The most common serious adverse reactions occurred and platflow. The reaction (2%), headache (2%), anemira (2%), and pyrexia (2%).

afflQ: The safety of Soliris therapy in patients with afflQs was evaluated in two prospective, single-arm studies (afflQ Studies 1 and 2) and one retrospective study (afflQ Study 3). The data described below were derived from 37 adult and adolescent patients with afflQs enrolled in afflQS Study 1 and afflQS 2, All patients received the recommended dosage of Soliris. Median exposure was 38 weeks (range: 2-64 weeks). Because clinical trials are conducted under widely varying conditions, adverse reaction rates observed in the clinical trials of a drug cannot be directly compared to rates in the clinical trials of another drug and may not reflect the rates observed

In practice. The most frequently reported adverse reactions in aHUS single arm prospective trials (215% combined per patient incidence) are: hypertension, upper respiratory tract infection, diarrhea, headache, anemia, vomiting, nausea, urinary tract infection, articulture articulture articulture and the second secon and leukor

In a HUS Studies 1 and 2 combined, 54% (20/37) of patients experienced a serious adverse event (SAE). The most commonly reported SAEs were hypertension (16%) and infections (14%). One patient discontinued Soliris due to adverse events deemed unrelated to Soliris.

Analysis of retrospectively collected adverse event data from pediatric and adverse arrolled in aHUS Study 3 (N=30) revealed a safety profile that was similar to that which was observed in the two prospective studies, aHUS Study 3 included 19 pediatric patients less than 18 years of age. Overall, the safety of Soliris in pediatric patients with aHUS enrolled in Study 3 appeared similar to that observed in adult patients. The most common (≥15%) adverse events occurring in pediatric patients are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Adverse Reactions Occurring in at Least 15% of Patients Less

MedDBV	Nu				
ver. 11.0	< 2 yrs (n=5)	2 to < 12 yrs (n=10)	12 to<18 yrs (n=4)	Total (n=19)	
General Disorders and Administration	((*****)	(<u>(</u>)	
Pyrexia	4 (80)	4 (60)	1 (25)	9 (47)	
Gastrointestinal Disorders Diarrhea	1 (20)	4 (40)	1 (25)	6 (32)	
Vomiting	2 (40)	1 (10)	1 (25)	4 (21)	
Infections and Infestations Upper respiratory tract infection ^a	2 (40)	3 (30)	1 (25)	6 (32)	

ATIENTS LESS THAN 18 YEARS OF AGE ENROLLED IN AHUS STUDY 3 edDRA Number (%) of Patients					
er. 11.0	< 2 yrs (n=5)	2 to < 12 yrs (n=10)	12 to<18 yrs (n=4)	Total (n=19)	
espiratory, horacic and lediastinal isorders					
Cough	3 (60)	2 (20)	0 (0)	5 (26)	
Nasal congestion ardiac Disorders	2 (40)	2 (20)	0 (0)	4 (21)	
Tachycardia	2 (40)	2 (20)	0 (0)	4 (21)	

nunogenicity

Immunogenicity As with all proteins there is a potential for immunogenicity. The immunogenicity of Soliris has been evaluated using two different immunoassays for the detection of anti-eculizumab antibodies: a direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the Fab fragment of eculizumab as target was used for the PNH indication; and an electro-chemiluminescence (ECL) bridging assay using the eculizumab and an electro-chemiuminescence (ELL) proging assay using the eculizumad whole molecular as traget was used for the aHUS indication. Low titters of antibodies to Soliris were detected in 3/196 (2%) of all PNH patients treated with Soliris by the ELISA assay. In patients with aHUS treated with Soliris, antibodies to Soliris were detected in 1/37 (2.7%) by the ECL assay. An ECL based neutralizing HAHA assay with a low sensitivity of 2 mcg/mL was performed to detect neutralizing antibodies for the 37 patients with aHUS. No neutralizing activity to Soliris was detected in patients with aHUS treated with Soliris. No apparent correlation of antibody development to clinical response was observed in both indications. The immunopencity data reflect the accentance of nationat whose bet results were memory and the soliris was approximated and the soliris was approximated by the accentance of nationat whose bet results were memory and the soliris was approximated by the soliris by the soliris was approximated antubody development to clinical response was observed in both indications. The immunogenicity data reflect the percentage of patients whose test results were considered positive for antibodies to Soliris in an EUSA based assay and/or an ECL based assay are highly dependent on the sensitivity and specificity of the assay used. Additionally, the observed incidence of antibody positivity in the assay may be influenced by several factors including sample handling, timing of sample collection, concomitant medications and underlying disease. For these reasons, comparison of the incidence of antibodies to Soliris with the incidence of antibodies to other products may be misleading.

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

Pregnancy Category C: There are no adequat Soliris, a recombinant Pregnancy Category C: There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of Soliris in pregnant women. Soliris, a recombinant IgG molecule (humanized anti-C5 antibody), is expected to cross the placenta. Animal studies using a mouse analogue of the Soliris molecule (murine anti-C5 antibody) showed increased rates of developmental ahormalities and an increased rate of dead and moribund offspring at doses 2-8 times the human dose. Soliris should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Animal reproduction studies were conducted in mice using doses of a murine anti-C5 antibody that approximated 2-4 times (low dose) and 4-8 times (high dose) the recommended human Soliris dose, based on a body weight comparison. When animal exposure to the antibody occurred in the time period from before maing unit early gestation, no decrease in fertility or reproductive performance was observed. early gestation, no decrease in fertility or reproductive performance was observed. When maternal exposure to the antibody occurred during organogenesis, two cases of retinal dysplasia and one case of umbilical hernia were observed among 230 offspring born to mothers exposed to the higher antibody dose, however, the exposure did not increase fetal loss or neonatal death. When maternal exposure to the antibody occurred in the time period from implantation through weaning, a higher number of male offspring became moribund or died (1/25 controls, 2/25 low dose group). Surviving offspring had normal development and reproductive function.

Nursing Mothers It is not known whether Soliris is excreted into human milk. IgG is excreted in It is not known whether solins is excreted into human milk. Us is excreted in human milk, so it is expected that Soliris will be present in human milk. However, published data suggest that antibodies in human milk do not enter the neonatal and infant circulation in substantial amounts. Caution should be exercised when Soliris is administered to a nursing woman. The unknown risks to the infant from gastrointestimal or limited systemic exposure to Soliris should be weighed against gastrointestinal or limited systemic expos the known benefits of human milk feeding.

Pediatric Use

Pediatric Use The safety and effectiveness of Soliris for the treatment of PNH in pediatric patients below the age of 18 years have not been established. Three clinical studies assessing the safety and effectiveness of Soliris for the treatment of aHUS included a total of 25 pediatric patients (ages 2 months to 17 years). The safety and effectiveness of Soliris for the treatment of aHUS appear similar in pediatric and adult patients [see Dosage and Administration, Adverse Reactions, and Clinical Studies].

Administer vaccinations for the prevention of infection due to Neisseria meningitidis, Steptococcus penumoniae and Haemophilus influenza type b (Hib) according to ACIP guidelines [see Warnings and Precautions].

Geriatric Use

Generation use Sixteen patients 65 years of age or older (15 with PNH and 1 with aHUS) were treated with Soliris. Although there were no apparent age-related differences observed in these studies, the number of patients aged 65 and over is not sufficient to determine whether they respond differently from younger patients.

HOW SUPPLIED/STORAGE AND HANDLING Soliris (eculizumab) is supplied as 300 mg single-use vials containing 30 mL of 10 mg/mL sterile, preservative-free Soliris solution per vial. In grant science, preservative rates counts solution per visal. Solvins viaits must be stored in the original carton until time of use under refrigerated conditions at 2-8° C (56-46° F) and protected from light. Do not use beyond the expiration date stamped on the carton. Refer to *Dosage and Administration (2)* for information on the stability and storage of diluted solutions of Soliris.

DO NOT FREEZE. DO NOT SHAKE. NDC 25682-001-01 Single unit 300 mg carton: Contains one (1) 30 mL vial of Soliris (10 mg/mL).

maceuticals. Inc

Manufactured by: Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 352 Knotter Drive Cheshire, CT 06410 USA

*K***LEXION**

Copyright © 2011, Alexion Pharmaceu All rights reserved. SOL 1175

2 years after discharge. Of these, 1583 patients received early follow-up with a nephrologist and could be matched to a patient without a nephrologist. The risk of all-cause mortality was compared on propensity-matched analysis. All-cause mortality was 8.4 per 100 patient-years for patients with early nephrologist follow-up versus 10.6 per 100 patient-years for those without nephrolo-

gist follow-up: hazard ratio 0.76. Within 2 years, 15.5 and 18.9 percent of patients had died, respectively. In subgroup analyses, the survival benefit was significant for men, patients younger than 65 years, those with a history of diabetes, and those with no previous nephrology consultation.

Even if kidney function recovers, patients with AKI remain at increased risk of death. Care after discharge may affect the prognosis; yet, only 8 percent of patients see a nephrologist within 1 year.

The new study suggests improved survival with early nephrologist follow-up of hospitalized patients who survive an episode of AKI. The authors call for further studies to clarify optimal care for AKI survivors, including the role of nephrology care [Harel Z, et al. Nephrologist followup improves all-cause mortality of severe acute kidney injury. Kidney Int 2013; 83:901–908].

New Data on **Perioperative** β-Blockade for Noncardiac Surgery

For patients with multiple risk factors undergoing noncardiac, nonvascular surgery, perioperative β-blockers reduce mortality and cardiac morbidity, according to a study in the Journal of the American Medical Association. The protective effect is larger for patients with more risk factors, the authors found.

Using Veterans Health Administration (VA) databases, the researchers identified 37,805 propensity score-matched pairs of patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery who did and did not receive perioperative \beta-blockade, defined as an active outpatient prescription or receipt of β -blockers on the day of or the day after surgery. The 30-day mortality and cardiac morbidity (cardiac arrest or Q-wave myocardial infarction) were compared between groups.

In this VA population, the rates of perioperative *β*-blocker exposure were 40.3 percent overall, 66.7 percent for patients undergoing vascular surgery, and 37.4 percent for those undergoing nonvascular surgery. The likelihood of perio-Continued on page 10

a includes the preferred terms upper respiratory tract infection and nasopharyngitis

Postmarketing Experience Cases of serious or fatal meningococcal infections have been reported.

Journal View continued

Perioperative $\beta\text{-Blockade}$

Continued from page 9

perative β -blockade increased from 25.3 percent for patients with no revised cardiac risk index factors to 71.3 percent for those with four or more risk factors. The overall 30-day mortality was 1.1 percent, and cardiac morbidity was 0.9 percent.

On propensity-matched analysis, perioperative β -blockers were associated with lower mortality among higher-risk patients. The relative risk (RR) was 0.63 for patients with two revised cardiac risk index factors, with a number needed to treat (NNT) of 105. For patients with the risk factors, the RR was 0.54 and the NNT 41; for those with four or more risk factors, RR was 0.40 and the NNT 18.

Perioperative β -blockade reduced mortality risk only for patients undergoing nonvascular surgery. The nonvascular surgery group also had a significant reduction in cardiac morbidity: RR 0.67, NNT 339.

There is continued controversy over the use of perioperative β -blockers for patients undergoing major noncardiac surgery. Current class I recommendations call only for continuation of pre-existing β -blocker therapy [London MJ, et al. Association of perioperative β -blockade with mortality and cardiovascular morbidity following major noncardiac surgery. *JAMA* 2013; 309:1704–1713].

Nasal MRSA Carriage Predicts Worse Outcomes in Hemodialysis Patients



Even without clinical signs of infection, hemodialysis patients who are methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) carriers are at increased risk of death, reports a study in *BMC Nephrology*.

The prospective cohort study included 289 hemodialysis outpatients at an urban dialysis unit. All underwent nasal swabs for MRSA culture at admission to the unit, after transfer from another unit, or on readmission after a hospital stay. Patients found to be nasal MRSA carriers were kept in a separate ward and treated with nasal muciprocin: appropriate treat-

ments for extranasal (throat and skin) MRSA colonization were used as well. Clinical characteristics and outcomes were compared for MRSA carriers versus noncarriers.

Nasal MRSA carriage was identified in 11.7 percent of patients. About one-third of nasal MRSA carriers also had extranasal colonization. Patients with a history of cancer and those with increased comorbidity were more likely to be nasal MRSA carriers. Traditional MRSA risk factors were not significant, nor were markers of inflammation or malnutrition.

During follow-up, death occurred in 55.9 percent of patients whose test results for MRSA were positive versus 37.4 percent of MRSA-negative patients. The mortality difference was significant on Kaplan-Meier analysis. Muciprocin treatment eradicated nasal MRSA colonization in 73.5 percent of patients. For patients in whom eradication therapy was unsuccessful, all-cause mortality exceeded 85 percent.

Nasal MRSA carriage is a known risk factor for bacteremia and death in various patient groups. There is ongoing controversy regarding its clinical impact on patients receiving long-term hemodialysis.

About one of eight hemodialysis patients may be nasal MRSA carriers, the new study suggests. These patients are at increased risk of death during follow-up, especially if muciprocin is not effective in eradicating MRSA. The authors call for further study of nasal MRSA colonization as an independent outcome predictor in hemodialysis patients [Schmid H, et al. Persistent nasal methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus carriage in hemodialysis outpatients: a predictor of worse outcome. *BMC Nephrol* 2013; 14:93].

Simultaneous Pancreas-Kidney Transplantation May Reverse Microvascular Damage in Patients with Type 1 Diabetes

In patients with type 1 diabetes and diabetic nephropathy (DN), microvascular structural abnormalities are reversed within 1 year after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation (SPK), reports a study in the *American Journal of Transplantation*.

The investigators used sidestream dark field (SDF) imaging—an emerging technology for noninvasive visualization of the microcirculation—to study the microvascular morphology of the oral mucosa. Imaging studies were performed in various groups, including 26 patients with DN, 38 patients undergoing SPK, 15 patients with type 1 diabetes, 15 DN patients undergoing kidney transplantation, and 20 healthy control individuals.

The study also included longitudinal SDF imaging in 21 patients with DN undergoing SPK. The microvascular findings were correlated with markers of endothelial dysfunction, including angiopoeitin-1 and angiopoeiten-2 (Ang-1 and Ang-2) and soluble thrombomodulin.

The SDF imaging studies showed increased capillary tortuosity in the DN patients and in the type 1 diabetes group: 1.83 and 1.55, respectively. This value was significantly reduced in patients undergoing SPK, 1.31, compared with no change after kidney transplantation, 1.64. Levels of soluble thrombomodulin and the Ang-2/Ang-1 ratio also normalized after SPK, compared with no change after kidney transplantation. The reversal of capillary tortuosity and decreased markers of endothelial dysfunction were observed within 12 months after SPK.

Simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation is an advanced treatment alternative for patients with type 1 diabetes and DN or other forms of microvascular disease. This study, using SDF imaging, suggests that reversal of systematic microvascular abnormalities occurs within 1 year after SPK in patients with DN. No such effect is noted in patients undergoing kidney transplantation only [Khairoun M, et al. Microvascular damage in type 1 diabetic patients is reversed in the first year after simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation. Am J Transplant 2013; 13:1272–1281].

MMF for Lupus Nephritis Patients with Poor Kidney Function

For lupus nephritis patients with a very low eGFR, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) may lead to faster recovery of kidney function compared with cyclophosphamide, reports a study in the *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*.

The study was a post hoc analysis of data from patients enrolled in the Aspreva Lupus Management Study, a large randomized trial of MMF versus cyclophosphamide for lupus nephritis. Of 370 patients enrolled, 32 had severely decreased kidney function: eGFR less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m². Of those, 20 received MMF, target dosage 3 g/d; and 12 received cyclophosphamide, given in monthly intravenous pulses of 0.5 to 1.0 g/m². Response was defined as decreased proteinuria and stabilization or improvement in serum creatinine levels.

Over 24 weeks, the response rate was similar between groups: 20.0 percent with MMF and 16.7 percent with cyclophosphamide. However, MMF was associated with more rapid improvement in kidney function, with a between-group difference of 1.51 mL/min/1.73 m² per week. Serious adverse events occurred in 45.0 percent of patients with MMF versus 63.6 percent with cyclophosphamide.

Randomized trials suggest that oral MMF is an effective alternative to intravenous cyclophosphamide for the treatment of lupus nephritis. It has been unclear whether MMF is adequate therapy for patients with very low kidney function.

The new analysis finds similar response rates—but faster improvement in renal function—with MMF for lupus nephritis patients with low eGFR, compared with cyclophosphamide. The authors hope that their hypothesis-generating study will lead to further studies of the efficacy and safety of MMF for this group of patients [Walsh M, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil or intravenous cyclophosphamide for lupus nephritis with poor kidney function: a subgroup analysis of the Aspreva Lupus Management Study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2013; 61:710–715].

Something ?

ASN Kidney News accepts correspondence in response to published articles. Please submit all correspondence to kidneynews@asn-online.org



INVOKANA™ (canagliflozin) tablets

versus none on placebo), and require treatment with oral or topical antifungal agents and anti-microbial agents than patients on comparators. In the pooled analysis of 8 controlled trials, phimosis was reported in 0.3% of uncircumcised male patients treated with INVOKANA and 0.2% required circumcision to treat the phimosis [see Warnings and Precautions].

phimosis [see Warnings and Precautions]. <u>Hypoglycemia</u>: In all clinical trials, hypoglycemia was defined as any event regardless of symptoms, where biochemical hypoglycemia was documented (any glucose value below or equal to 70 mg/dL). Severe hypoglycemia was defined as an event consistent with hypoglycemia where the patient required the assistance of another person to recover, lost consciousness, or experienced a seizure (regardless of whether biochemical documentation of a low glucose value was obtained). In individual clinical trials [see *Clinical Studies (14) in full Prescribing Information]*, episodes of hypoglycemia occurred at a higher rate when INVOKANA was co-administered with insulin or sulfonylureas (Table 4) [see Warnings and Prescritions] Precautions].

Table /	Incidence	of Hypon	lvoomio* in	Controllad	Clinical S	tudioe.
ladie 4	: inclaence	OT HVDOQ	ivcemia^ in	Controlled	Clinical S	tudies

Monotherapy (26 weeks)	Placebo (N=192)	INVOKANA 100 mg (N=195)	INVOKANA 300 mg (N=197)
Overall [N (%)]	5 (2.6)	7 (3.6)	6 (3.0)
In Combination with Metformin (26 weeks)	Placebo + Metformin (N=183)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin (N=368)	INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin (N=367)
Overall [N (%)]	3 (1.6)	16 (4.3)	17 (4.6)
Severe [N (%)] [†]	0 (0)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.3)
In Combination with Metformin (52 weeks)	Glimepiride + Metformin (N=482)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin (N=483)	INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin (N=485)
Overall [N (%)]	165 (34.2)	27 (5.6)	24 (4.9)
Severe [N (%)] [†]	15 (3.1)	2 (0.4)	3 (0.6)
In Combination with Sulfonylurea (18 weeks)	Placebo + Sulfonylurea (N=69)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Sulfonylurea (N=74)	INVOKANA 300 mg + Sulfonylurea (N=72)
Overall [N (%)]	4 (5.8)	3 (4.1)	9 (12.5)
In Combination with Metformin + Sulfonylurea (26 weeks)	Placebo + Metformin + Sulfonylurea (N=156)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin + Sulfonylurea (N=157)	INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Sulfonylurea (N=156)
Overall [N (%)]	24 (15.4)	43 (27.4)	47 (30.1)
Severe [N (%)] [†]	1 (0.6)	1 (0.6)	0
In Combination with Metformin + Sulfonylurea (52 weeks)	Sitagliptin + Metformin + Sulfonylurea		INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Sulfonvlurea
	(N=378)		(N=377)
Overall [N (%)]	(N=378) 154 (40.7)		(N=377) 163 (43.2)
Overall [N (%)] Severe [N (%)] [†]	(N=378) 154 (40.7) 13 (3.4)		(N=377) 163 (43.2) 15 (4.0)
Overall [N (%)] Severe [N (%)] [†] In Combination with Metformin + Pioglitazone (26 weeks)	(N=378) 154 (40.7) 13 (3.4) Placebo + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=115)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=113)	(N=377) 163 (43.2) 15 (4.0) INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=114)
Overall [N (%)] Severe [N (%)] [†] In Combination with Metformin + Pioglitazone (26 weeks) Overall [N (%)]	(N=378) 154 (40.7) 13 (3.4) Placebo + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=115) 3 (2.6)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=113) 3 (2.7)	(N=377) 163 (43.2) 15 (4.0) INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=114) 6 (5.3)
In Combination with Metformin + Pioglitazone (26 weeks) Overall [N (%)] In Combination with Insulin (18 weeks)	(N=378) 154 (40.7) 13 (3.4) Placebo + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=115) 3 (2.6) Placebo (N=565)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=113) 3 (2.7) INVOKANA 100 mg (N=566)	(N=377) 163 (43.2) 15 (4.0) INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=114) 6 (5.3) INVOKANA 300 mg (N=587)
In Control Severe [N (%)] [†] In Combination with Metformin + Pioglitazone (26 weeks) Overall [N (%)] In Combination with Insulin (18 weeks) Overall [N (%)]	(N=378) 154 (40.7) 13 (3.4) Placebo + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=115) 3 (2.6) Placebo (N=565) 208 (36.8)	INVOKANA 100 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=113) 3 (2.7) INVOKANA 100 mg (N=566) 279 (49.3)	(N=377) 163 (43.2) 15 (4.0) INVOKANA 300 mg + Metformin + Pioglitazone (N=114) 6 (5.3) INVOKANA 300 mg (N=587) 285 (48.6)

* Number of patients experiencing at least one event of hypoglycemia based on either biochemically

documented episodes or severe hypoglycemia were defined as those where the patient required the assistance of severe episodes of hypoglycemia were defined as those where the patient required the assistance of another person to recover, lost consciousness, or experienced a seizure (regardless of whether biochemical documentation of a low glucose value was obtained)

biochemical documentation of a low glucose value was obtained) <u>Laboratory Tests:</u> Increases in Serum Potassium: Dose-related, transient mean increases in serum potassium were observed early after initiation of INVOKANA (i.e., within 3 weeks) in a trial of patients with moderate renal impairment [see Clinical Studies (14.3) in full Prescribing Information]. In this trial, increases in serum potassium of greater than 5.4 mEq/L and 15% above baseline occurred in 16.1%, 12.4%, and 27.0% of patients treated with placebo, INVOKANA 100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively. More severe elevations (i.e., equal or greater than 6.5 mEq/L) occurred in 1.1%, 2.2%, and 2.2% of patients treated with placebo, INVOKANA 100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively. In patients with moderate renal impairment, increases in potassium were more commonly seen in those with elevated potassium at baseline and in those using medications that reduce potassium excretion, such as potassium-sparing diuretics, angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors, and angiotensin-receptor blockers [see Warnings and Precautions]. Increases in Serum Magnesium: Dose-related increases in serum magnesium were observed parks after

Increases in Serum Magnesium: Dose-related increases in serum magnesium were observed early after Increases in Serum Magnesium: Dose-related increases in serum magnesium were observed early after initiation of INVOKANA (within 6 weeks) and remained elevated throughout treatment. In the pool of four placebo-controlled trials, the mean change in serum magnesium levels was 8.1% and 9.3% with INVOKANA 100 mg and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively, compared to -0.6% with placebo. In a trial of patients with moderate renal impairment [see Clinical Studies (14.3) in full Prescribing Information], serum magnesium levels increased by 0.2%, 9.2%, and 14.8% with placebo, INVOKANA 100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively

respectively. Increases in Serum Phosphate: Dose-related increases in serum phosphate levels were observed with INVOKANA. In the pool of four placebo controlled trials, the mean change in serum phosphate levels were 3.6% and 5.1% with INVOKANA 100 mg and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively, compared to 1.5% with placebo. In a trial of patients with moderate renal impairment [see Clinical Studies (14.3) in full Prescribing Information], the mean serum phosphate levels increased by 1.2%, 5.0%, and 9.3% with placebo, INVOKANA 100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively. Increases in Low-Density Linguratein Chalesterol (LDL-C) and non-High-Density Linguratein Chalesterol

100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively. Increases in Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C) and non-High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (non-HDL-C): In the pool of four placebo-controlled trials, dose-related increases in LDL-C with INVOKANA were observed. Mean changes (percent changes) from baseline in LDL-C relative to placebo were 4.4 mg/dL (4.5%) and 8.2 mg/dL (8.0%) with INVOKANA 100 mg and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively. The mean baseline LDL-C levels were 104 to 110 mg/dL across treatment groups [see Warnings and Precautions]. Dose-related increases in non-HDL-C with INVOKANA were observed. Mean changes (percent changes) from baseline in non-HDL-C relative to placebo were 2.1 mg/dL (1.5%) and 5.1 mg/dL (3.6%) with INVOKANA 100 mg and 300 mg, respectively. The mean baseline non-HDL-C levels were 140 to 147 mg/dL across treatment groups.

treatment groups.

Treatment groups. Increases in Hemoglobin: In the pool of four placebo-controlled trials, mean changes (percent changes) from baseline in hemoglobin were -0.18 g/dL (-1.1%) with placebo, 0.47 g/dL (3.5%) with INVOKANA 100 mg, and 0.51 g/dL (3.8%) with INVOKANA 300 mg. The mean baseline hemoglobin value was approximately 14.1 g/dL across treatment groups. At the end of treatment, 0.8%, 4.0%, and 2.7% of patients treated with placebo, INVOKANA 100 mg, and INVOKANA 300 mg, respectively, had hemoglobin above the upper limit of normal. DRUG INTERACTIONS

UGT Enzyme Inducers: Rifampin: Co-administration of canadiflozin with rifampin, a nonselective inducer of UGT Enzyme Inducers: Rifampin: Co-administration of canagliflozin with rifampin, a nonselective inducer of several UGT enzymes, including UGT1A9, UGT2B4, decreased canagliflozin area under the curve (AUC) by 51%. This decrease in exposure to canagliflozin may decrease efficacy. If an inducer of these UGTs (e.g., rifampin, phenytoin, phenobarbital, ritonavir) must be co-administered with INVOKANA (canagliflozin), consider increasing the dose to 300 mg once daily if patients are currently tolerating INVOKANA 100 mg once daily, have an eGFR greater than 60 mL/min/1.73 m², and require additional glycemic control. Consider other antihyperglycemic therapy in patients with an eGFR of 45 to less than 60 mL/min/1.73 m² receiving concurrent therapy with a UGT inducer and require additional glycemic control [see Dosage and Administration (2.3) and Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in full Prescribing Information].

Digoxin: There was an increase in the area AUC and mean peak drug concentration (C_{max}) of digoxin (20% and 36%, respectively) when co-administered with INVOKANA 300 mg *[see Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in full Prescribing Information].* Patients taking INVOKANA with concomitant digoxin should be monitored appropriately.

USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS

Pregnancy: Teratogenic Effects: Pregnancy Category C: There are no adequate and well-controlled studies of INVOKANA in pregnant women. Based on results from rat studies, canagliflozin may affect renal development and maturation. In a juvenile rat study, increased kidney weights and renal pelvic and tubular

INVOKANA™ (canagliflozin) tablets

dilatation were evident at greater than or equal to 0.5 times clinical exposure from a 300 mg dose [see Nonclinical Toxicology (13.2) in full Prescribing Information].

These outcomes occurred with drug exposure during periods of animal development that correspond to the late second and third trimester of human development. During pregnancy, consider appropriate alternative therapies, especially during the second and third trimesters. INVOKANA should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. **Nursing Mothers:** It is not known if INVOKANA is excreted in human milk. INVOKANA is secreted in the milk of lactating rats reaching levels 1.4 times higher than that in maternal plasma. Data in juvenile rats directly exposed to INVOKANA showed risk to the developing kidney (renal pelvic and tubular dilatations) during maturation. Since human kidney maturation occurs *in utero* and during the first 2 years of life when lactational exposure may occur, there may be risk to the developing human kidney. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from INVOKANA, a decision should be made whether to discontinue nursing or to discontinue INVOKANA, taking into account the importance of the drug to the mother *[see Nonclinical Toxicology (13.2) in full Prescribing Information*] Prescribing Information.

Pediatric Use: Safety and effectiveness of INVOKANA in pediatric patients under 18 years of age have not been established.

Geriatric Use: Two thousand thirty-four (2034) patients 65 years and older, and 345 patients 75 years and older were exposed to INVOKANA in nine clinical studies of INVOKANA [see Clinical Studies (14.3) in full Prescribing Information].

Patients 65 years and older had a higher incidence of adverse reactions related to reduced intravascular volume with INVOKANA (such as hypotension, postural dizziness, orthostatic hypotension, syncope, and dehydration), particularly with the 300 mg daily dose, compared to younger patients; more prominent increase in the incidence was seen in patients who were 75 years and older [see Dosage and Administration (2.1) in full Prescribing Information and Adverse Reactions]. Smaller reductions in HbA1C with INVOKANA

(2.1) in full Prescribing Information and Adverse Reactions). Smaller reductions in HbA1C with INVOKANA relative to placebo were seen in older (65 years and older; -0.61% with INVOKANA 100 mg and -0.74% with INVOKANA 300 mg relative to placebo) compared to younger patients (-0.72% with INVOKANA 100 mg and -0.87% with INVOKANA 300 mg relative to placebo).
Renal Impairment: The efficacy and safety of INVOKANA were evaluated in a study that included patients with moderate renal impairment (eGFR 30 to less than 50 ml/min/1.73 m²) [see Clinical Studies (14.3) in full Prescribing Information]. These patients had less overall glycemic efficacy and higher occurrence of adverse reactions related to reduced intravascular volume, renal-related adverse reactions, and decreases in eGFR compared to patients with mild renal impairment or normal renal function (eGFR greater than or equal to 60 ml/min/1.73 m²); patients treated with INVOKANA 300 mg were more likely to experience

equal to 60 mL/min/1.7.3 m²); patients treated with INVOKANA 300 mg were more likely to experience increases in potassium [see Dosage and Administration (2.2) in full Prescribing Information, Warnings and Precautions, and Adverse Reactions]. The efficacy and safety of INVOKANA have not been established in patients with severe renal impairment (eGFR less than 30 mL/min/1.73 m²), with ESRD, or receiving dialysis. INVOKANA is not expected to be effective in these patient populations [see Contraindications and Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in full Prescribing Information]. Henseling Information].

Hepatic Impairment. No dosage adjustment is necessary in patients with mild or moderate hepatic impairment. The use of INVOKANA has not been studied in patients with severe hepatic impairment and is therefore not recommended [see Clinical Pharmacology (12.3) in full Prescribing Information]. OVERDOSAGE

There were no reports of overdose during the clinical development program of INVOKANA (canagliflozin). In the event of an overdose, contact the Poison Control Center. It is also reasonable to employ the usual supportive measures, e.g., remove unabsorbed material from the gastrointestinal tract, employ clinical monitoring, and institute supportive treatment as dictated by the patient's clinical status. Canagliflozin was negligibly removed during a 4-hour hemodialysis session. Canagliflozin is not expected to be dialyzable by oneal dialysis

PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION See FDA-approved patient labeling (Medication Guide).

Instructions: Instruct patients to read the Medication Guide before starting INVOKANA (canagliflozin) erapy and to reread it each time the prescription is renewed.

Inform patients of the potential risks and benefits of INVOKANA and of alternative modes of therapy. Also inform patients of the potential risks and benefits of involvential and of alternative modes of dietapy. Also inform patients about the importance of adherence to dietary instructions, regular physical activity, periodic blood glucose monitoring and HbA1C testing, recognition and management of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia, and assessment for diabetes complications. Advise patients to seek medical advice promptly during periods of stress such as fever, trauma, infection, or surgery, as medication requirements mav chánge.

Instruct patients to take INVOKANA only as prescribed. If a dose is missed, advise patients to take it as soon as it is remembered unless it is almost time for the next dose, in which case patients should skip the missed dose and take the medicine at the next regularly scheduled time. Advise patients not to take two doses of INVOKANA at the same time.

Inform patients that the most common adverse reactions associated with INVOKANA are genital mycotic infection, urinary tract infection, and increased urination.

Inform female patients of child bearing age that the use of INVOKANA during pregnancy has not been studied in humans, and that INVOKANA should only be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. Instruct patients to report pregnancies to their physicians as soon as possible

Inform nursing mothers to discontinue INVOKANA or nursing, taking into account the importance of drug to the mother

Laboratory Tests: Due to its mechanism of action, patients taking INVOKANA will test positive for glucose in their urine.

<u>Hypotension:</u> Inform patients that symptomatic hypotension may occur with INVOKANA and advise them to contact their doctor if they experience such symptoms [see Warnings and Precautions]. Inform patients that dehydration may increase the risk for hypotension, and to have adequate fluid intake.

<u>Genital Mycotic Infections in Females (e.g., Vulvovaginitis):</u> Inform female patients that vaginal yeast infection may occur and provide them with information on the signs and symptoms of vaginal yeast infection. Advise them of treatment options and when to seek medical advice [see Warnings and Precautions]</u>.

Genital Mycotic Infections in Males (e.g., Balanitis or Balanoposthitis): Inform male patients that yeast infection of penis (e.g., balanitis or balanoposthitis) may occur, especially in uncircumcised males and patients with prior history. Provide them with information on the signs and symptoms of balanitis and balanoposthitis (rash or redness of the glans or foreskin of the penis). Advise them of treatment options and when to seek medical advice [see Warnings and Precautions].

<u>Hypersensitivity Reactions:</u> Inform patients that serious hypersensitivity reactions such as urticaria and rash have been reported with INVOKANA. Advise patients to report immediately any signs or symptoms suggesting allergic reaction or angioedema, and to take no more drug until they have consulted prescribing physicians

. <u>Urinary Tract Infections:</u> Inform patients of the potential for urinary tract infections. Provide them with information on the symptoms of urinary tract infections. Advise them to seek medical advice if such symptoms occur.

Active ingredient made in Belgium Finished product manufactured by: Janssen Ortho, LLC Gurabo, PR 00778 Manufactured for: Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Titusville, NJ 08560

Licensed from Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation © 2013 Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 10282400

K02CAN13080B



A New Team at JASN

By Karl A. Nath

am privileged to serve as the new Editor-in-Chief of the *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology (JASN*), and I am delighted to introduce our new team. Thanks to the leadership of Dr. Eric Neilson and his team, and prior leadership, *JASN* holds a premier position among kidney journals, dedicating itself to both the scientific and clinical communities by publishing the very best work in relevant fields. *JASN* will maintain this important commitment and publish cutting-edge scientific studies and the best in translational studies, epidemiology, clinical trials and research, renal therapies, and renal transplantation. In addition, *JASN* will be a vibrant voice for issues that are of broad and timely interest to the nephrology community in these challenging times.

To fulfill this mission, a roster of distinguished individuals with expertise encompassing these areas has been assembled, with Dr. Anupam Agarwal serving as Deputy Editor (University of Alabama at Birmingham; AKI, molecular biology, mutant mouse models). Associate editors are listed in Table 1.



The new team at JASN: Standing left to right are Fernando Fervenza, MD, PhD; Matthew Griffin, MBChB; Keith Norris, MD; Robert Gaston, MD; Ariel Gomez, MD; Agnes Fogo, MD; Alfred Cheung, MD. Sitting from left to right are David Salant, MD; Anupam Agarwal, MD; Karl A. Nath, MBChB; Bonnie O'Brien; Phylllis August, MD, MPH; and Peter Harris, PhD.

JASN is most fortunate to have as its Managing Editor, Ms. Bonnie O'Brien, who has served this role with every distinction from the very first issue of the journal, which was published in July 1990.

Special appreciation and thanks go to Dr. Eric Neilson and Dr. Gary Curhan, Editor-in-Chief of *CJASN*, for quite helpful discussions, particularly during this transition period, and to Ms. Adrienne Lea, ASN Director of Communications, and Dr. Sharon Moe, President-Elect, ASN, and former Chair of the ASN Communications Committee, for their continued support and input.

The mission of the ASN is to "lead the fight against kidney disease." *JASN* actively participates in this mission by publishing new, important, and influential literature pertaining to the science and practice of nephrology, and by engaging the nephrology community in dialog. The new team at *JASN* is honored to serve this journal and this community, and warmly welcomes feedback so that this remarkable journal can best serve our discipline, our community, and, ultimately, the welfare of patients with kidney disease.

Karl A. Nath, MBChB, is affiliated with the Division of Nephrology & Hypertension, Department of Medicine, and Department of Physiology and Biomedical Engineering, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

Table 1. JASN's Associate Editors and their specialties

- **Phyllis August, MD, MPH**, Weill Cornell Medical Center hypertension, pregnancy-related kidney disease, epidemiology
- Alfred Cheung, MD, University of Utah
- end-stage kidney disease, vascular access, CKD, clinical trials
 David Ellison, MD, Oregon Health and Science University renal physiology, tubular disease, molecular pathogenesis of hypertension, edema
- **Robert Gaston, MD**, University of Alabama, Birmingham transplantation
- Fernando Fervenza, MD, PhD, Mayo Clinic, Rochester human glomerulonephritis and other parenchymal diseases
- Agnes Fogo, MD, Vanderbilt University renal pathology, mechanisms of renal injury
- Ariel Gomez, MD, University of Virginia renal development, renin-angiotensin system, renal autocoids, pediatrics
- Matthew Griffin, MBChB, National University of Ireland, Galway
- immunology, transplant biology, AKI, regenerative medicinePeter Harris, PhD, Mayo Clinic, Rochester
- molecular genetics of kidney disease
- **Keith Norris, MD**, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science
- CKD, renal epidemiology and outcomes, hypertension, health care disparities
- **David Salant, MD**, Boston University glomerular biology and pathobiology, glomerulonephritis
- **Amy Williams, MD**, Mayo Clinic, Rochester dialysis, CKD, public policy, career development, and professionalism

ducation

LEADING THE FIGHT AGAINST <mark>KIDNEY DISEASE</mark>

Maintaining your certification with ASN's Dialysis Practice Improvement Module

Available Now

ASN provides the best learning opportunities in kidney care. The Dialysis Practice Improvement Module (DPIM) guides physicians through a review of patient data and supports the implementation of a quality-improvement (QI) plan for their practice.

- · Evaluate and improve care for dialysis patients
- Implement an individual or practice-wide improvement plan
- Earn 20 MOC points from ABIM

Online Learning | The ASN Advantage www.asn-online.org/learningcenter

KIDNEYWEEKS Atlanta, GA | Nov 5 - 10

Call for Abstracts

Submit your abstract for ASN Kidney Week 2013: The world's premier nephrology meeting

NEW ABSTRACT CATEGORIES FOR 2013

- **Pediatric Nephrology:** Clinical, epidemiological, and management studies of pediatric diseases (403)
- **Geriatric Nephrology:** Basic, clinical, and health services research relevant to the field of geriatric nephrology (1001)
- Nutrition and Metabolism: Basic and patient-based studies addressing the metabolic and physiologic responses to nutrients and how they interface with kidney disease and its treatment (1401)

Fellows Case Reports

Fellows can submit clinical cases or pedigrees that demonstrate novel clinical findings, illustrate classic conditions in new or unusual ways, or illuminate and expand knowledge concerning physiology, cell biology, genetics, or molecular mechanisms. These case reports should reflect an understanding of the relevant science and are eligible for poster presentation and publication only. Select abstract category 1302 Fellows Case Reports during the submission process.

The full list of abstract categories and their descriptions are available at www.asn-online.org/KidneyWeek

Please note that ALL abstract authors (including co-authors) must have current disclosures on file with ASN at time of submission.





IMPORTANT DATES (2013)

Abstracts

Wednesday, April 10 Abstract Submission Site Opens

Tuesday, June 11 Abstract Submission Site Closes (11:59 p.m. EDT)

Wednesday, July 31 Late-Breaking Clinical Trial (Phases II & III only) Submission Site Opens

Wednesday, September 11 Late-Breaking Clinical Trial (Phases II & III only) Submission Site Closes (11:59 p.m. EDT)

Registration & Housing

Wednesday, June 5 Registration and Housing Opens

Wednesday, September 11 Early Registration Closes

Friday, October 4 Housing Closes

Wednesday, October 23 Advance Registration Closes

Tuesday, November 10 Onsite Registration Opens

Kidney Week

Tuesday, Nov. 5 – Wednesday, Nov. 6 Early Programs

Thursday, Nov. 7 – Sunday, Nov. 10 Annual Meeting

Centers for Disease Control Debuts CKD Surveillance System

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a growing public health problem affecting 10–15 percent of the general U.S. population. Yet until recently, the United States lacked a comprehensive surveillance system to document the burden of CKD and its risk factors over time. To address this need, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as a major part of its CKD Initiative, commissioned two research teams led by Neil Powe, MD, at the University of California, San Francisco, and Rajiv Saran, MD, of the University of Michigan to develop and implement a national CKD Surveillance System. The surveillance system was successfully launched in the fall of 2012 and is currently available on the CDC's website at www.cdc.gov/ckd/surveillance.

The surveillance system tracks "the progress of our efforts to prevent, detect, and manage CKD," the CDC writes on the website. It also "provides the means for evaluating, monitoring, and implementing quality improvement efforts by both federal and nonfederal agencies." Highlights of the surveillance system include a national CKD fact sheet and a specific section to evaluate progress toward achieving Healthy People 2020 objectives for CKD.

Data is arranged within categories, so that specific indicators, such as "percentage of patients seeing a nephrologist by stage," are nested within broader topics, such as "processes and quality of care in CKD." There are currently nine broad topics: incidence, prevalence, awareness, burden of risk factors, health consequences, processes and quality of care, health care system capacity, CKD in children and adolescents, and CKD in the solid organ transplant population. Each broad topic contains multiple indicators. Tables and figures are downloadable.

"What's novel about this surveillance system is that it brings CKD data from disparate data sources together into one location," Powe said. "Integration of multiple data sources is one of the main goals of this project, enabling us to help provide a complete picture of national trends in CKD."

The current surveillance system contains data from many resources, including measures derived from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES), the Veterans Affairs Healthcare System (National VA), the United States Renal Data System (USRDS), the National Kidney Disease Education Program (NKDEP), the College of American Pathologists (CAP), and the American Medical Association (AMA), to name a few. Data sources are rigorously evaluated for characteristics that would make them useful to include in a surveillance system, including representativeness and stability over time.

"Key goals of this surveillance system are to monitor trends, promote CKD prevention and stimulate improvement of CKD care," Powe said. "We're hoping to disseminate the data as widely as possible so that it may be used to its fullest public health potential."





Corporate Supporters

ASN gratefully acknowledges the Society's Diamond and Platinum Corporate Supporters for their contributions in 2012.



Detective Nephron

Detective Nephron, world-renowned for expertise in analytical skills, trains budding physician-detectives in the diagnosis and treatment of kidney diseases. L.O. Henle, a budding nephrologist, presents a new case to the consultant.

a case.					
Nephron	My apprentice, what do you have for me? And we have our medical student back. Good!				
Henle and Tubule look at each other.					
Henle	I have a patient with a potassium level of 1.8 mmol/L.				
Nephron (chuckling)	Hmm. That is really low. Can the patient walk?				
Tubule (confidently)	No, that is why this 43-year-old woman is here with profound lower extremity weakness leading to being bedridden for the past 2 days.				
Nephron	That's always the problem. Figuring out the cause is more important. I am assuming the medical team has started replacing her potassium. What do you think is causing all this?				
Henle	Broadly speaking, hypokalemia can result from potassium losses or translocation.				
Nephron	Good place to start.				
Tubule (curiously)	Can you elaborate on translocation?				
Nephron	Good! And?				
Henle	Well, translocation refers to shifting of potassium into cells. This can result from two major mechanisms. The first is from increased work of Na-K ATPase, which can be noticed in patients with hyperinsulinemia. This is also the reason why patients in refeeding syndrome can become hypokalemic. An increase in β -2 adrenergic activity also increases the activity of this pump, leading to hypokalemia. The second mechanism is stimulation of the cellular H+ and K+ exchange that can be sometimes seen in alkalemia leading to potassium being pumped into the cells and hypokalemia. Also, sometimes in leukocytosis (e.g., in acute myeloid leukemia), there may be uptake of potassium by the leukocytes, leading to pseudohypokalemia.				
Tubule	Also, I have heard that increased blood cell production, as seen in treatments with folate and vitamin B12 for anemia and GM-CSF for neutropenia, can result in hypokalemia.				
Henle	I doubt this patient has any of them, because the leukocyte count, hemoglobin, and platelets are completely normal. She has been eating a regular diet, has normal blood glucose levels, and has not recently been using β -2 adrenergic agents. She really didn't have many symptoms except for this weakness and perhaps some dry eyes and mouth for the past 3 months.				
Nephron	Good thought process. Since you've started, let us now complete the other side of the equation.				

Ms. Curious Tubule enters the room along with L.O. Henle to present

Tubule	You mean potassium losses? Well potassium losses can be from extrarenal causes or renal causes.
Nephron	Why don't we talk about her diarrhea?
Tubule	She has none! She has no medical history for any such causes of nonrenal losses.
Henle	I would consider moving to renal causes of losses, given that she has a non–anion gap metabolic acidosis, might I add.
Tubule	Oh, I missed that part of her laboratory examination.
Nephron	Did you confirm the metabolic acidosis with an arterial blood gas determination? A compensatory response <i>to</i> respiratory alkalosis can look exactly like metabolic acidosis, meaning a low serum bicarbonate. We need to see a low arterial pH to be sure.
Henle	Yes, we did. Her pH was 7.21.
Tubule (confidently)	In terms of renal losses, that's a long list.
Nephron	Her 24-hour urine potassium must be more than 20 mmol.
Tubule	Of course you can guess the number correctly. Actually, it was 41 mmol in 24 hours. Also, her urine pH is 6.5, and her random urine electrolytes are Na, 34 mmol/L; K, 21 mmol/L; and Cl, 35 mmol/L. Therefore, her urine anion gap is +20.
Henle	Wait a second! Clearly, we know there are urinary losses here, and there is a positive anion gap, which suggests possibly a renal tubular acidosis (RTA), given that she had normal renal function. But there are other mechanisms we need to consider. Nephron: Please enlighten us, my friend.
Nephron	Please enlighten us, my friend.
Henle	I look at renal causes in physiology-based mechanisms. Increased distal sodium delivery as a cause of the K+ losses is one category (diuretics, vomiting, Bartter and Gitelman syndromes), but in those cases mostly there is some degree of alkalosis, which this patient lacks. I doubt this is Liddle syndrome, with increased epithelial sodium channel activity.
Nephron	Do you want to know the magnesium level?
Henle	Of course I do. That is another mechanism via decreased renal outer medullary potassium inward rectification.
Tubule	And the magnesium was 1.02 mmol/L (2.5 mg/dL).
Nephron	Is hypertension present?
Tubule	No, which rules out increased mineralocorticoid activity increase (again mostly with some metabolic alkalosis)

Nephron It seems that a much more practical way to think might be to divide your causes with acidosis versus alkalosis. Isn't it? Let's stop listing all non-acidosis related causes, please! Henle I think this is a distal RTA. Tubule I think it is a proximal RTA. Nephron Oh well, you will both have to defend your diagnoses. The pathophysiology of hypokalemia in RTA is not well known, but Tubule given the degree of hypokalemia, I think this is too low for just a distal RTA. Henle How do you explain the alkaline urinary pH then, in the absence of active treatment of a proximal RTA with bicarbonate? If you had proximal RTA in steady state, the distal component is still working, and you should be able to acidify the urine, but here your urine pH is high. Hence, I think this is a classic case of distal RTA. Nephron In distal RTA, you are unable to excrete the daily acid load. In the absence of bicarbonate therapy, this results in progressive hydrogen ion retention. The serum bicarbonate levels are rarely below 10 meq/L. To enable you to make a diagnosis of distal RTA, the urine pH should be 5.5 or higher, the urine sodium concentration should be above 25 mmol/L, and the urine anion gap should be consistent with low rates of ammonium excretion (hence positive). The urine osmolal gap has been shown to be more useful, but I assume that wasn't done in this case. Henle That is correct, and the serum bicarbonate was 15 mmol/L, which suggests against proximal RTA in this individual. In proximal RTA, there is a reduced capacity to reclaim filtered Nephron bicarbonate in the proximal tubule. The serum bicarbonate concentration in untreated patients with proximal RTA is usually between 12 and 20 mmol/L. When the serum bicarbonate is low, virtually all of the filtered bicarbonate can be reabsorbed, and distal acidification proceeds normally, with a urine pH that is appropriate for the patient's diet. Tubule Hmm... I guess this is distal RTA. Henle Great. Let's go and treat her with repletion. Nephron Stop by in a few days and tell me the cause of her distal RTA. It doesn't occur in isolation. **Tubule** The major causes of distal RTA include autoimmune diseases, malignancy, and hypercalciuria. Perhaps we should look for those causes. Henle Hmm...she did describe having dry eyes and mouth. What autoimmune diseases cause distal RTA? **Tubule** I think she might have Sjogren syndrome (SS), which might complete the entire presentation: dry eyes, dry mouth, distal RTA. Nephron Come back in 2 weeks, please. Two weeks later

Henle Aggressive potassium repletion was initiated with combined oral potassium tablets and intravenous fluids. By day 2 of hospitalization, the patient reported marked improvement in overall strength, and her potassium had risen to 3.5 mmol/L. She was discharged on hospital day 6, receiving potassium replacement with potassium citrate.

Tubule, I am curious; what did you do next?
We ordered a 24 hour urinary calcium concentration, and it was not elevated.
We determined that the antinuclear antibody was speckled, and the antinuclear factor titer was 1:2560.
I see.
Perhaps this is lupus, so we got a double-strand DNA determination, and it was negative. Her normal lactate dehydrogenase level suggested against a malignancy. Her levels of Sjogren syndrome A at 518 AU/mL and Sjogren syndrome B at 530 AU/mL clinched the diagnosis of SS. A follow-up revealed a potassium level of 3.8 mmol/L and complete resolution of her previous symptoms.
Nice work, team!
While it is common for patients with an established diagnosis of SS to have distal RTA, it is rare for patients to present with severe hypokalemia and acidosis as their initial presentation, especially in such a dramatic and severe manner.
Would we treat the underlying SS?
Good question; unlikely, if kidney function is normal. The indications for treatment of SS with steroids include symptoms (i.e., joint pain, dry mouth and eyes). There is evidence that steroids may resolve the distal RTA because it may be an antibody-mediated process that steroids can curtail, perhaps targeting the hydrogen ATPase pump in the distal nephron. It's a tough decision. Usually these patients do relatively well with potassium and alkali preparations like potassium citrate.
Again, from a single entity of hypokalemia you diagnosed a systemic illness. Remember, besides laboratory data and clinical acumen, you need a good history and physical examination because that will never be replaced! No online tool or laboratory test is going to give you the most information as well as the

Jewish Medical Center in Great Neck, NY. Special thanks to Dr. Helbert Rondon, University of Pittsburgh, and Dr. Rimda Wanchoo, Weill Cornell Medical Center, for their editorial assistance on the subject matter. Thanks also to Dr. Ezra Israel and Dr. Alessandro Bellucci, both from Hofstra North Shore LIJ School of Medicine, for providing case details. Send correspondence regarding this section to kjhaveri@nshs.edu or kdj200@gmail.com.

Industry Spotlight

Medgenics' Biopump Shows Good Early Results

Medgenics has announced early data from its phase II clinical trial with the EPODURE biopump device. This trial used the company's proprietary biopump to deliver the drug erythropoietin, or EPO, to anemic patients with chronic kidney disease who had not yet begun dialysis.

The company, based in Karmiel, Israel, has produced biopump applications for treating several chronic diseases, including hepatitis C, and is in the early stages of developing a biopump application that would treat hemophilia.

The biopump allows patients to produce, in their bodies on a long-term basis, their own natural human protein therapy. Cells are taken from patients, treated, placed in the biopump, and then the sterilized pump is implanted. The recent trial results showed that the EPODURE pump produced an environment that let hemoglobin remain in a desired range for 2 to 4 months without any additional injections. The company noted that that the treatment never went past the typical normal range for hemoglobin.

The company plans a larger phase II trial for later this year, according to the firm's website.

"We believe that EPODURE could improve the safety and efficacy of anemia treatments while enhancing patient quality of life by providing a more reliable treatment that reduces or eliminates the need for frequent EPO or ESA injections," said Medgenics chief executive Andrew Pearlman. He added that the system "could provide clear cost benefits to payers."

In recent years EPO drugs have been in the news for several reasons, including investigations into dialysis provider DaVita for overuse of the drug Epogen (made by Amgen), and double billing the government for drug that is left in vials and reused, according to a July 2012 *Denver Post* story. DaVita agreed to pay \$55 million to settle over allegations of drug overuse; the company denied any wrongdoing.

Amgen also has settled suits, entering a guilty plea at the U.S. District Court in Brooklyn for misbranding its anemia drug, Aranesp, which meant that the company was accused of selling it for uses not approved by the FDA.

NxStage Gets FDA Clearance for New High-Flow Capabilities

O n April 30, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) granted NxStage Medical clearance for its new high-flow capabilities with the NxStage System One, a portable hemodialysis system cleared for home use. With this clearance, NxStage Medical, based in Lawrence, Mass., expects to begin offering its System One with new higher flow capabilities in the United States later in 2013.

The higher flow capabilities will allow practitioners to adjust the duration and frequency of patient prescriptions for dialysis at home.

"This latest regulatory milestone reflects strong and systematic execution against our product pipeline," said Jeffrey Burbank, chief executive officer at NxStage Medical, Inc. "NxStage therapy may be prescribed less frequently, for example, three times per week or every other day, at treatment times consistent with those that patients and physicians experience in-center (in dialysis centers) today."

NxStage's high flow capabilities also received CE mark approval (CE marking is the manufacturer's declaration that a product meets the requirements of the applicable European Union rules for marketing a product freely in those areas).

DING THE FIGHT

Find the right job Faster with the ASN Career Center

Looking for that perfect fit?

Post your resume online. Whether or not you're actively seeking work, posting your resume with ASN provides you access to the best job offers in kidney medicine and research.

Access the newest jobs available, those at the institutions and locations that most interest you, and create job alerts so you never miss a matching job opportunity.

Get started today.

Member Benefits | The ASN Advantage careers.asn-online.org

Classified Ads

Kidney News Classified Advertising Information

Classified space is for advertising positions available, open faculty positions, course announcements, seminars, meetings and educational courses.

Display Advertising Rates

Ad Size	1x	3х
Full Page	\$2,450	\$2,275
1/2 Page	\$1,615	\$1,440
1/3 Page	\$1,395	\$1,335
1/4 Page	\$1,170	\$1,060
1/6 Page	\$1,005	\$ 995

Line Advertising Rates

Closing Date & Cancellations:

Copy must be received four weeks in advance of the month in which the ad is to appear. Cancellation requests must be made in written form by fax, e-mail or postal mail and will be honored for the earliest applicable issue.

All Ads Must be Prepaid

Contact: Rhonda Beamer

rhonda.beamer@wt-group.com P: 443-512-8899 x. 106 F: 443-512-8909



Now available as a Free download From the App Store.



Inside

Index to Advertisers

Alexion	-9
Amgen	2
CryoLife Back Pag	ze
Janssen	.1





HeRO (<u>He</u>modialysis <u>R</u>eliable <u>O</u>utFlow) Graft is the **ONLY** fully subcutaneous AV access solution clinically proven to maintain long-term access for hemodialysis patients with **central venous stenosis.**

- Fewer Infections: 69% reduced infection rate compared with catheters¹
- Superior Dialysis Adequacy: 1.7 Kt/V, a 16% to 32% improvement compared with catheters¹
- High Patency Rates: Up to 87% cumulative patency at 2 years^{1, 2}
- **Cost Savings:** A 23% average savings per year compared with catheters³

Reducing Catheter Dependency

HeRO Graft Candidates

- Catheter-dependent or approaching catheterdependency
- Failing AVF or AVG due to central venous stenosis

Treatment Algorithm





Catheter-dependent patients

Catheter

Learn more at **www.herograft.com** Order at: **888.427.9654**

References:

1) Katzman et al., J Vasc Surg 2009. 2) Gage et al., EJVES 2012. 3) Dageforde et al., JSR 2012. Indications for Use: The HeRO Graft is indicated for end stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis who have exhausted all other access options. See Instructions for Use for full indication, contraindication and caution statements. Rx only.

HeRO Graft is classified by the FDA as a vascular graft prosthesis.

1655 Roberts Boulevard, NW • Kennesaw, Georgia 30144 • Phone (888) 427-9654 • (770) 419-3355 All trademarks are owned by CryoLife, Inc. or its subsidiaries. HeRO Graft is a Hemosphere, Inc. product distributed by CryoLife, Inc. and Hemosphere, Inc. © 2012 CryoLife, Inc. All rights reserved.



 Download the App
 Scan the code with your mobile device to watch video

Get the free mobile app at http://gettag.mobi

