



# PRESS RELEASE

**ASN Contact:** Christine Feheley  
(202) 640-4638 | [cfeheley@asn-online.org](mailto:cfeheley@asn-online.org)

## PERITONEAL DIALYSIS COSTS MEDICARE LESS THAN HEMODIALYSIS, EVEN AS MORE PATIENTS ARE PLACED ON PERITONEAL DIALYSIS

*Findings support continued expansion of peritoneal dialysis use.*

### Highlight

- In an analysis of data on Medicare beneficiaries starting dialysis in 2008–2015, overall Medicare expenditures for in-center hemodialysis were 11% more than for home-based peritoneal dialysis, and this difference did not change significantly over time as more patients initiated peritoneal dialysis.
- The findings suggest that policies that encourage increased use of peritoneal dialysis could lead to lower Medicare expenditures.

**Washington, DC (August 18, 2022)** — People with kidney failure who do not choose conservative management and have not received a kidney transplant can undergo either hemodialysis, which is typically performed several times a week at a clinic, or at-home peritoneal dialysis (PD). New research published in *JASN* indicates that cost savings associated with PD compared with hemodialysis have continued over the years despite growth in the use of PD.

Observational studies suggest that health outcomes are similar between hemodialysis and PD, while home-based PD may offer greater autonomy, improved quality of life, and advantages when trying to maintain employment. Research also suggests that PD may be less costly, which has been one reason that recent U.S. policies have encouraged its use. However, as more patients with kidney failure are started on PD, the magnitude of potential cost savings compared with hemodialysis might change, especially because patients with conditions such as diabetes and obesity tend to experience more complications associated with PD.

To investigate, a team led by Kevin Erickson, MD, MS (Baylor College of Medicine) compared U.S. Medicare expenditures for PD and hemodialysis and evaluated whether differences in expenditures between the two dialysis modalities changed over time during a period of rapid growth in the use of PD.

In the analysis of U.S. Medicare beneficiaries starting dialysis between 2008–2015 who were 67 years and older, overall average expenditures were 11% more for hemodialysis than for PD—\$108,656 (in 2017 U.S. dollars) for hemodialysis and \$91,716 for PD—and

this difference did not change over time. Hemodialysis had 69% higher estimated intravenous dialysis drug costs, 35% higher rehabilitation costs, and 34% higher other non-dialysis costs. Over time, differences in total dialysis expenditures and intravenous dialysis drug use narrowed. Estimated intravenous drug costs declined by \$2,900 per patient per year in hemodialysis between 2008 and 2014 vs. \$900 per patient per year in PD.

“It was reassuring to see that Medicare cost savings from peritoneal dialysis persisted over time even as more patients were assigned to this modality. At the same time, a convergence in the use of intravenous dialysis drugs between the two dialysis modalities suggests that a main economic incentive in favor of peritoneal dialysis that was created with expansion of the ESRD Prospective Payment System in 2011 has diminished over time,” said Dr. Erickson.

Additional co-authors include Jennifer Kaplan, MD, Jingbo Niu, MD, DSc, Vivian Ho, PhD, and Wolfgang Winkelmayr, MD, ScD.

Disclosures: Dr. Erickson receives personal fees from Acumen LLC and honoraria from Dialysis Clinics Inc. and Satellite Dialysis. The authors reported no other financial disclosures.

The article, titled “A Comparison of US Medicare Expenditures for Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis,” will appear online at <http://jasn.asnjournals.org/> on August 18, 2022; doi: 10.1681/ASN.2022020221.

*The content of this article does not reflect the views or opinions of The American Society of Nephrology (ASN). Responsibility for the information and views expressed therein lies entirely with the authors. ASN does not offer medical advice. All content in ASN publications is for informational purposes only, and is not intended to cover all possible uses, directions, precautions, drug interactions, or adverse effects. This content should not be used during a medical emergency or for the diagnosis or treatment of any medical condition. Please consult your doctor or other qualified health care provider if you have any questions about a medical condition, or before taking any drug, changing your diet or commencing or discontinuing any course of treatment. Do not ignore or delay obtaining professional medical advice because of information accessed through ASN. Call 911 or your doctor for all medical emergencies.*

#### **About ASN**

Since 1966, ASN has been leading the fight to prevent, treat, and cure kidney diseases throughout the world by educating health professionals and scientists, advancing research and innovation, communicating new knowledge, and advocating for the highest quality care for patients. ASN has more than 20,000 members representing 132 countries. For more information, visit [www.asn-online.org](http://www.asn-online.org) and follow us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [Instagram](#).

###