

# Montefiore Nephrology Fellowship Evaluation

## Journal Club Presentation Evaluation

Fellows Name

Attending Evaluator

Date of presentation

**Goal:** To read, understand and digest an important, recent article related to the field of nephrology; to use appropriate resources to research the background of the article; and, to present the paper to an audience of your peers in a manner that is concise, focused, clear and professional.

Please evaluate the fellow in all categories below using the following scale:

- 1) Poorly prepared; poorly presented
- 2) Adequate for stage of training
- 3) Satisfactory for level of training
- 4) Excellent

### I. INTERPERSONAL AND COMMUNICATION SKILLS

Rating

1. The talk was well organized
2. The slides were clear and well organized.
3. The fellow communicated clearly to the audience:
  - a. faced and spoke directly to the audience
  - b. was at ease with the audience
  - c. explained the slides clearly
  - d. was conscious of time constraints
4. Answered questions directly and politely.

### II. MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE

1. Picked an appropriately challenging article.
2. Exhibited a clear understanding of the background material.
3. Understood the experimental methods.
4. Showed keen insights into the results.
5. Clearly understood the conclusions.
6. Displayed a clear synthesis of the article's pertinence to nephrology.
7. Made appropriate independent criticisms of the article.

# Montefiore Nephrology Fellowship Evaluation

## Journal Club Presentation Evaluation

### III. PRACTICE-BASED LEARNING AND IMPROVEMENT

1. Was able to self-evaluate her/his performance and identify areas of weakness
2. Incorporated feedback into a clear understanding of how she/he could improve future presentations.
3. Used available technology to research and and present a topnotch talk; e.g., appropriate literature search; figures; slides

### IV. PROFESSIONALISM

1. Appearance was appropriate.
2. Mindful of the audience's needs: started on time; ended on time.

### VI. SYSTEM-BASED PRACTICES

1. Used and understood how to use outside resources to research and prepare her/his talk.

### ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION SUMMARY:

1. Over-all performance of fellow for this presentation:

### COMMENTS-STRONG POINTS:

### COMMENTS-WEAK POINTS:

**THIS EVALUATION WAS COMPLETED BY:**

**NAME:** \_\_\_\_\_

**SIGNATURE:** \_\_\_\_\_